

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ORDR

**DISTRICT COURT
FAMILY DIVISION
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
Plaintiff,

v.

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
Defendant.

Case No.: D-08-XXXXXX-C
Dept.: E

ORDER GRANTING LIMITED MOTION TO UNSEAL

This Court has reviewed the *Writ of Mandamus*, dated October 12, 2024. That *Writ* instructs this Court to grant the March 4, 2024 *Limited Motion to Unseal*.

THIS COURT FINDS that, notwithstanding the *Writ*, it has never been requested to analyze the process utilized for a Court to overcome the presumption that family law proceedings are presumptively open as referenced within *Falconi v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct. in & for Cnty. of Clark*, 140 Nev. Adv. Op. 8, 543 P.3d 92, 95 (2024). As such there has not been analysis or findings by this Court that the closure serves a compelling interest; that there is a substantial probability that, in the absence of closure,

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

this compelling interest could be harmed; or that there are no alternatives to closure that would adequately protect the compelling interest.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the non-party petitioner’s *Limited Motion to Unseal* is GRANTED. The Clerk of Court is directed to restore access to the docket index to permit Our Nevada Judges, Inc. to independently monitor this case, including titles of filings and upcoming hearing dates and times.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, all existing orders, not in conflict with this Order, shall remain in full force and effect.

CASE CLOSED.

IT IS SO ORDERED

Dated this 19th day of September, 2024



se

E4B EB6 5FBE 1E4D
Charles J. Hoskin
District Court Judge