1	LUKE A. BUSBY, ESQ.		
2	SBN 10319		
1	316 California Ave. Reno, Nevada 89509		
3	775-453-0112		
4	luke@lukeandrewbusbyltd.com		
5	Attorney for Our Nevada Judges		
6	IN THE FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (	COLIDT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA	
7	IN THE FIRST GODICIAL DISTRICT C	COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,	
8	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CARSON CITY		
0			
9	**	*	
10			
11	STEVEN EGGLESTON, Petitioner,	CASE NO: 20 OC 00164 1B	
12		DEPT NO: 1	
13	VS.		
14	CLARK COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF FAN SERVICES;	MILY	
15	Respondent.	1	
16			
17	REPLY TO OPPOSITION TO SI	ECOND MOTION TO UNSEAL	
18	COMES NOW, Alexander Falconi d.k	o.a. Our Nevada Judges <sup>1</sup> , by and through	
19   20	the undersigned counsel, and hereby files	a reply to Respondent CLARK COUNTY	
21	DEPARTMENT OF FAMILY SERVICES's	Opposition to Second Motion to Unseal	
ĺ			
22	filed March 28, 2023. The Petitioner indicate	ated through counsel that they would not	
23	oppose Our Nevada Judges' Motion.		
24   25	This reply is based upon the followin	g memorandum of points and authorities,	
26	and the exhibits attached hereto.		
27			
28	<sup>1</sup> Alexander M. Falconi owns, operates, and congression	ontrols the Our Nevada Judges	
	organization.		

## 

13.

## 

#### **MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

ONJ sympathizes with Respondent's reliance on the history of the case as well as Petitioner's role in the sealing of the matter. But ONJ is not appearing on behalf of Petitioner. ONJ is here on behalf of the public in furtherance of the public's First Amendment Right to access court proceedings. SRCR 3(4) states, explicitly, that "[t]he parties' agreement alone does not constitute a sufficient basis for the court to seal or redact court records." This is consistent with the First Amendment principles cited in the instant motion.

FJDCR 3.7(b) is not only futile, because of Respondent's position, but an attempted resolution has already occurred with the filing of the first motion to unseal, and more specifically, at the hearings stemming from that motion. Even now, attempting to cure any such defect would be pointless, as Respondent has clearly stated in its opposition that it would be futile, and argued that position before the Court. See also *Navratil v Navratil*, No. 72956, Court of Appeals, (May 16, 2018) (finding no abuse of discretion in considering the merits based on extensive disagreement; interpreting EDCR 5.11, replaced by EDCR 5.501, which, like FJDCR 3.7(b) is a requirement to attempt resolution before filling a motion). This Court's oral pronouncements from the bench regarding the disclosure of hearing dates did not materialize into a final written order, with the written order instead denying ONJ's underlying motion due to the fact that ONJ made its first request pursuant to SRCR 3(5)(c) only<sup>2</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Indeed, the first motion specifically stated that a second motion would follow if needed.

This second motion merely asks this Court to do what the first motion didn't.

Namely, ONJ is requesting that the Court conduct an SRCR 3(4) analysis and make findings consistent with the rule and the First Amendment of the United States.

Before this Court are two interpretations of NRS 432B.280. Respondent asks this Court to construe NRS 432B.280 as forbidding access to all papers and pleadings, and close all hearings. ONJ is asking this court to construe the statute as protecting the confidentiality of the reports only, and to seal filings and close the courtroom only as the rule and First Amendment allows, both by weighing the public interest and narrowly tailoring any restrictions to access, with the scope of this request expanding from SRCR 3(5)(c), to SRCR 3(4).

Assistive to this Court in determining the applicability of Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act ("CAPTA"), as cited by Respondent, is 42 U.S.C. §5106(a)(b)(2)(B)(viii)(V), which allows "reports and records" to be made publicly available to "a []court, upon a finding that information in the record is necessary for the determination of an issue before the court[.]"

This federal statute was analyzed in *Ansara v Maldonado*, No. 2:19-cv-01394-GMN-VCF, Dist. Court, (D. Nevada November 26, 2022), which conceded NRS 432B.280's confidentiality requirements, and immediately followed its citation with a review of NRS 432B.290(2)(e), which provides that confidentiality evaporates when "public disclosure of information is necessary for the determination of that issue." *Id*.

Of additional noteworthiness is, footnote 1, which obviates any independent review of CAPTA "[b]ecause CAPTA's scope is tied to the relevant state law[;]"

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

namely, NRS 432B.290(2)(e). Id. For the several reasons articulated above, ONJ's interpretation is wholly consistent with CAPTA, federal law, Nevada law, and most importantly, the First Amendment. State v. Castaneda, 126 Nev. 478, 481, 245 P.3d 550, 552 (2010)("when the language of a statute admits of two constructions, one of which would render it constitutional and valid and the other unconstitutional and void, that construction should be adopted which will save the statute.")

WHEREFORE, ONJ requests that the Court grant its Motion and order:

- 1. That all papers and pleadings be unsealed, or in the alternative that an SRCR 3(4) analysis occur; and,
- 2. That all hearings in this case be open to the public, or in the alternative that the Clerk of this Court be ordered to disclose hearing dates and times upon request.

### NRS 239B.030(4) AFFIRMATION

Pursuant to NRS 239B.030 the undersigned hereby affirms that this document does not contain the social security number of any person.

**DATED** this Apr 21, 2023

LUKE A. BUSBY, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 10319

316 California Ave.

Reno, Nevada 89509

775-453-0112

luke@lukeandrewbusbvltd.com

Attorney for the Our Nevada Judges

#### **DECLARATION OF ALEXANDER FALCONI**

I, Alexander M. Falconi, declare that I have read the forgoing *Reply* and that the contents are true and correct of my own personal knowledge, except for those matters I have stated that are not of my own personal knowledge, but that I only believe them to be true, and as for those matters, I do believe they are true.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

EXECUTED this Apr 21, 2023

alexander Folori

Alexander M. Falconi 205 N. Stephanie St. Suite D#170 Henderson, NV 89074 Our Nevada Judges Administrator admin@ournevadajudges.com

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
2   3	I certify that on the date shown below, I caused service to be completed of a		
4			
5	true and correct copy of the foregoing document by:		
6	personally delivering;		
7	delivery via Reno/Carson Messenger Service;		
8	sending via Federal Express (or other overnight delivery service);		
9	x depositing for mailing in the U.S. mail, with sufficient postage affixed		
10	thereto; or,		
11	delivery via electronic means (fax, eflex, NEF, etc.) to:		
12			
13	Paola Armeni, Esq.		
14	1700 S. Pavilion Center Drive, Ste. 500		
15	Las Vegas, NV 89135		
16	Amity C Dorman, Esq. 601 N. Pecos Rd.		
17 18	Building B, Room 470 Las Vegas, NV 89101		
19	<b>DATED</b> this Apr 21, 2023		
20			
21	ву: 2 А Д		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1	LUKE A. BUSBY, ESQ.		
2	SBN 10319		
-	316 California Ave.		
3	Reno, Nevada 89509 775-453-0112		
4	luke@lukeandrewbusbyltd.com		
	Attorney for Our Nevada Judges		
5			
6	IN THE FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT COU	RT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA	
7	IN THE FIRST GODICIAL DISTRICT COST	TO THE OTHER OF NEVADA,	
8	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CARSON CITY		
9	* * *		
10			
11	STEVEN EGGLESTON,	CASE NO: 20 OC 00164 1B	
12	Petitioner,	DEPT NO: 1	
	vs.	DEPT NO. 1	
13			
14	CLARK COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF FAMILY SERVICES;		
15	Respondent.		
16			
17			
	REQUEST FOR SUBMISSION OF SEC	COND MOTION TO UNSEAL	
18	COMES NOW, Alexander Falconi d.b.a.	Our Nevada Judges, by and through	
19	the undersigned soupped, and hereby request t	hat its Second Mation to Unacel filed	
20	the undersigned counsel, and hereby request t	riat its Second Motion to Oriseal filed	
21	March 14, 2023, Clark County's Opposition file	ed on March 28, 2023, and the Reply	
22	be submitted to the Court for decision.		
23	///		
24			
25	///		
26	///		
27	///		
28			

# NRS 239B.030(4) AFFIRMATION Pursuant to NRS 239B.030 the undersigned hereby affirms that this document does not contain the social security number of any person. DATED this Apr 21, 2023 LUKE A. BUSBY, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10319 316 California Ave. Reno, Nevada 89509 775-453-0112 luke@lukeandrewbusbyltd.com Attorney for the Our Nevada Judges

1			
2	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
3	I certify that on the date shown below, I caused service to be completed of a		
4	true and correct copy of the foregoing document by:		
5	personally delivering;		
6	delivery via Reno/Carson Messenger Service;		
$\begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix}$	sending via Federal Express (or other overnight delivery service);		
9	x depositing for mailing in the U.S. mail, with sufficient postage affixed		
10	thereto; or,		
11	delivery via electronic means (fax, eflex, NEF, etc.) to:		
12			
13	Paola Armeni, Esq.		
15	1700 S. Pavilion Center Drive, Ste. 500 Las Vegas, NV 89135		
16	Amity C Dorman, Esq.		
17	601 N. Pecos Rd. Building B, Room 470		
18	Las Vegas, NV 89101		
19	<b>DATED</b> this Apr 21, 2023		
20	By: Zun A Zun		
21	By: ~~ 14 \ 7 V		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26 27			
28			
20	i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e		