

1 **ORDR**

2
3
4 DISTRICT COURT
5 FAMILY DIVISION
6 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

7 [REDACTED]

8 Plaintiff,

9 v.

10 [REDACTED]

11 Defendant.

CASE NO. D- [REDACTED] C

DEPT. NO. U

12
13 **ORDER FOR CAMERA ACCESS TO COURT PROCEEDINGS**

14 WHEREAS this matter is not a sealed case, there is a presumption that the
15 case is subject to electronic coverage pursuant to SCR 230(2); and
16

17 WHEREAS, because this matter is a child custody case, the Court is
18 concerned with the right of privacy for the parties and their minor child;
19

20 THE COURT HAVING CONSIDERED all of the factors set forth in SCR
21 230(2), the Court finds and orders as follows regarding the Media Request
22 submitted by Our Nevada Judges in this matter:
23

- 24 1. The COURT determines camera access to proceedings, in compliance
25 with the COURT's policy and the specific orders set forth herein,
26

1 WOULD NOT distract participants, impair the dignity of the Court or
2 otherwise materially interfere with the achievement of a fair trial or
3 hearing herein.

4
5 2. Therefore, the COURT hereby GRANTS permission for camera access
6 to Brittany Falconi of Our Nevada Judges, as requested for each and
7 every hearing on the above-entitled case, at the discretion of the Judge,
8 and unless otherwise notified. This Order is in accordance with Nevada
9 Supreme Court Rules 229-249, inclusive, and is subject to
10 reconsideration upon Motion of any party to the action.
11

12 3. However, in order to protect the privacy of the parties and particularly
13 the minor child involved in this matter, there shall be no live streaming
14 of the electronic coverage and when the electronic coverage is
15 published, the names of the parties, the name of their minor child, the
16 name of the child's school and the case number shall be obscured or
17 eliminated.
18
19

20
21 Dated this 10th day of September, 2021

22 

23
24 _____
25 Dawn R. Throne
26 District Court Judge
27
28