



Minutes

Advisory Committee Meeting

Standing Committee on Appellate Disposition Classification

February 23, 2026

1:00 p.m.

Zoom

1. Call to Order

The meeting was called to order by Alex Falconi at 1:00 p.m.

2. Roll Call

Present:

Alex Falconi, Founding Director

The Hon. Bitia Yeager, Member

The Hon. Kriston Hill, Member

The Hon. Anna Albertson, Member

Vikki Seelig, Secretary

Absent:

The Hon. Joe Hardy, Chair

The Hon. Tammy Riggs, Member

Discussion:

Alex Falconi mentioned Joe Hardy was in a hearing.

3. Approval of Minutes from Previous Meeting

Approved without objection.

4. Discussion

The Committee's instructions included questions. Addendum 1. All questions were resolved.

Question 2

Discussion: The Committee reviewed Supreme Court docket no. 87468 and advised that the remand in question was procedural and a non-error. [UIOP&P 103\(b\)\(7\)\(i\)](#). A new disposition category will be proposed to the Board and applied retroactively to similar vacatur as appropriate.

Question 3

Discussion: The Committee reviewed Supreme Court docket no. 88462 and advised it does not constitute error because the corrected sentence, if uncorrected, would not have caused the prisoner to suffer any additional incarceration. [UIOP&P 103\(b\)\(7\)\(i\)](#).

Question 4

Discussion: The Committee reviewed Supreme Court docket no. 86673 and advised it does not constitute error within the context of the appealed decision because vacatur occurred on issues jurisdiction was divested of. [UIOP&P 103](#).

Question 5

Discussion: The Committee advised that short-trial judge decisions are signed by the judge of the department and that per the Nevada Short Trial Rules the judge lacks any authority to alter or reject the



Minutes

Advisory Committee Meeting

proposed order. This is in contrast to recommendations issued by hearing commissioners, which a judge has the duty and obligation to reject or alter if inconsistent with the law, relevant rules of which include a procedure for objecting to recommendations. A policy change will be proposed to the Board and applied retroactively to all short trial dispositions.

Question 8

Discussion: The Committee advised that certain compound dispositions over the same issue penalize the same judge with a heavier weight simply because multiple parties appeal. [UIOP&P 103\(e\) and \(f\)](#). A policy change will be proposed to the Board on how to handle compound dispositions. The issue is sensitive because it would retroactively apply to correct and erroneous dispositions both.

Action Items

Alex Falconi will present the committee's advice to the Board for consideration of policy changes.

5. Adjournment

Motion to adjourn was made by Alex Falconi.

The meeting was adjourned at 1:25 p.m.

6. Next Meeting

The next meeting is to be scheduled when necessary and appropriate.

July 16, 2026

/s/ Vikki Seelig
Vikki Seelig
Secretary