



1 **PMAN**

2 Robert E. Murdock, Esq.

3 Nevada Bar No. 4013

4 Sydney M. Koren, Esq.

5 Nevada Bar No. 15291

6 MURDOCK & ASSOCIATES, CHTD.

7 521 South Third Street

8 Las Vegas, NV 89101

9 Phone: 702-685-6111

10 Fax: 702 620-6445

11 rem@murdockassociates.com

12 sem@murdockassociates.com

13 *Attorney for Petitioner*

CASE NO: A-26-946148-W

Department 11

8 **DISTRICT COURT**

9 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

10 OUR NEVADA JUDGES, INC.,

11 Petitioner,

12 vs.

13 MOAPA VALLEY JUSTICE COURT;

14 and GREGORY SCOTT MILLS,

15 JUSTICE OF THE PEACE,

16 Respondent.

Case No.:

Dept. No.:

**EX-PARTE PETITION FOR
ALTERNATIVE WRIT OF
MANDAMUS; OR, IN THE
ALTERNATIVE FOR A
PEREMPTORY WRIT OF
MANDAMUS**

17 COMES NOW, Our Nevada Judges, Inc., a Nevada Non-Profit Corporation
18 (hereinafter "ONJ"); by and through its attorney of record Robert E. Murdock,
19 Esq. and Sydney M. Koren, Esq, and hereby files its ex-parte petition for
20 alternative writ of *mandamus*; or, in the alternative for a peremptory writ of
21 *mandamus*.

This petition is based upon all the pleadings and papers on file herein, the following Points and Authorities and any argument of counsel as may be had.

DATED this 8th day of May, 2026.

MURDOCK & ASSOCIATES, CHTD.

/s/ Robert E. Murdock, Esq.

Robert E. Murdock, Esq.

Nevada Bar No. 4013

Sydney M. Koren, Esq.

Nevada Bar No. 15291

521 South Third Street

Las Vegas, NV 89101

Attorney for Petitioner

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

On March 22, 2026, the Founding Director of ONJ, Alexander Falconi, an SCR 230(1) request to provide electronic coverage of March 23, 2026 hearings in 25CCV000648, 25CCV000649, and 25CCV000645. On March 23, 2026, Justice of the Peace Gregory Mills denied the request for lack of notice consistent with SCR 230(1) despite ONJ's explanations for the short notice. Exhibit 1. ONJ followed up with a request for the J.A.V.S. recording of the hearing. Exhibit 2. The Moapa Valley Justice Court denied this request. Exhibit 3. While it was Judge Mills' prerogative to deny the SCR 230(1) request, it was a manifest abuse of discretion for the Moapa Valley Justice Court to refuse to release the J.A.V.S. recordings. This petition for writ of mandamus follows.

Mr. Falconi was recognized as running the "press organization" now incorporated as ONJ. *Falconi v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 543 P.3d 92, 94 (2024) (hereinafter "*Falconi*"). The Supreme Court, unanimously, expressed this opinion again in *Nester vs Eighth Judicial Dist. Ct.*, 141 Nev. Adv. Op. 4 (2025). Indeed, the Supreme Court linked ONJ's work both before as Mr. Falconi's sole proprietorship and post-incorporation as the entity now formally established as ONJ. *Our Nev. Judges, Inc. v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 555 P.3d 777 (Nev. 2024)(unpublished) ("even though the opinion lists a different party's name, [Mr. Falconi and ONJ] are one and the same.") See also *Our Nev. Judges, Inc. v. Second Judicial Dist. Court*, 553 P.3d 445 (Nev. 2024) (unpublished). See also *Our Nev. Judges, Inc. v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 555 P.3d 777 (Nev. 2024) (unpublished).

1 ONJ is entitled to a writ of mandamus under NRS 34.160 and NRS 34.170.
2 See also Nevada Constitution Article VI Section 6; NRS 34.160. When the Writ
3 is applied for without notice, and the Writ is allowed by the Court, an Alternative
4 Writ shall be first issued. NRS 34.200. See NRS 34.190(2). “[T]he scope of the
5 press's and public's access to courts is an important issue of law, as well as a
6 substantial issue of public policy, warranting [] extraordinary consideration
7 [because] direct appellate review is often not available to the press, and thus,
8 writs for extraordinary relief may be necessary to challenge a denial of access.” A
9 writ of mandamus is available to compel the performance of an act that the law
10 requires as a duty resulting from an office, trust or station, see NRS 34.160, *or to*
11 *control an arbitrary or capricious exercise of discretion. See Round Hill Gen.*
12 *Imp. Dist. v. Newman*, 97 Nev. 601, 637 P.2d 534 (1981).

13 ONJ typically seeks peremptory writs, but this specific case presents an
14 opportunity for this Court to issue an alternative writ. NRS 34.190(2). This is
15 because, upon reading this petition and this Court’s alternate writ, it is unlikely
16 the Moapa Valley Justice Court will resist because no written sealing order
17 forbidding release was entered. Indeed, the Supreme Court’s holding in *NY Times*
18 *Co. v. Dist. Ct.*(Doe 1 trust), 141 Nev. Adv. Op. 71 (Dec. 23, 2025) requires
19 statutory, constitutional, and common law principles be considered before a court
20 can seal a record. In this case, no sealing record exists, and the Moapa Valley
21 Justice Court refuses to release JAVS as a policy. Thus, the alternate writ will
conserve judicial and non-profit resources. NRS 34.190(2). In this regard, NRS
34.190(2) provides: "The alternative writ shall state generally the allegation
against the party to whom it is directed, and command such party, immediately

1 after receipt of the writ, or at some other specified time, to do the act required to
2 be performed, or to show cause before the court, at a specified time and place,
3 why the party has not done so." ONJ would typically include objecting parties as
4 a real-party in interest, but there having been no request by any party to seal, it
5 appears the Clerk of the Moapa Valley Justice Court acted unilaterally or under
6 the informal, non-written instructions of Justice of the Peace Gregory Mills.

7 The justice courts are subject to public and press scrutiny as much as the
8 district courts and our appellate courts. Indeed, "[i]t is not unrealistic even in this
9 day to believe that public inclusion affords citizens a form of legal education and
10 hopefully promotes confidence in the fair administration of justice." *State v.*
11 *Schmit*, 273 Minn. 78, 87-88, 139 N. W. 2d 800, 807 (1966). "Instead of acquiring
12 information about trials by firsthand observation or by word of mouth from those
13 who attended, people now acquire it chiefly through the print and electronic
14 media. In a sense, this validates the media claim of functioning as surrogates for
15 the public." *Richmond Newspapers*, 448 U. S. 525, 573 (1980).

16 If Judge Mills enters an order sealing the JAVS videos, such an order
17 would not properly be within the scope of this petition, and ONJ will consider
18 whether to file a new writ petition with relevant points and authorities based on
19 any such order he may enter. Likewise, if at such juncture a party is the impetus
20 that moved to seal, ONJ will be sure to include the party as a real-party in
21 interest.

THEREFORE, Petitioner ask this Court to issue an alternate writ of
mandamus directing the Moapa Valley Justice Court to turn the requested JAVS
videos over to ONJ; or, for the Clerk of Moapa Valley Justice Court and Justice of

1 the Peace Gregor Mills to appear before this Court and show cause why a
2 peremptory writ of mandamus should not issue. If this Court is disinclined to
3 consider issuing an alternate writ, ONJ urges this Court to set a hearing on
4 shortened time because the subsequent proceedings are impending on June 8 and
5 the gap in the proceedings damages the public's understanding of the
6 proceedings.

7 ***AFFIRMATION:** This document does not contain a social security
8 number of any person.*

9 DATED this 8th day of May, 2026.

10 **MURDOCK & ASSOCIATES, CHTD.**

11 /s/ Robert E. Murdock, Esq.

12 Robert E. Murdock, Esq.

13 Nevada Bar No. 4013

14 Sydney M. Koren, Esq.

15 Nevada Bar No. 15291

16 521 South Third Street

17 Las Vegas, NV 89101

18 *Attorney for Petitioner*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 0
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 0
- 1

I declare¹ under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Alexander Folsom

¹ NRS 53.045 (declaration in lieu of affidavit).

List of Exhibits

Exhibit 1: Email
Pages: 2

Exhibit 2: Email
Pages: 1

Exhibit 3: Email
Pages: 1

EXHIBIT 1

EXHIBIT 1



Alexander Falconi <admin@ournevadajudges.com>

Urgent: Zoom Access

Moapa Valley JC Public Response <MoapaValleyJCPR@clarkcountynv.gov>

Mon, Mar 23, 2026 at 10:14 AM

To: Alexander Falconi <admin@ournevadajudges.com>

Hello,

The Judge has reviewed the request and has denied it, he said that its last minute and this hearing has been set on the docket since February 26, 2026, when we got the appeal back from district court. Also, because its last minute all the parties wont have time before the hearing at 11 today to be informed. The judge will put the denial on the record I just wanted to let you know that it is denied for this hearing for those reasons.

[Quoted text hidden]

EXHIBIT 2

EXHIBIT 2



Alexander Falconi <admin@ournevadajudges.com>

Urgent: Zoom Access

Alexander Falconi <admin@ournevadajudges.com>

Mon, Mar 23, 2026 at 1:40 PM

To: Moapa Valley JC Public Response <MoapaValleyJCPR@clarkcountynv.gov>

Thanks, please let me know how I can order the JAVS video of the proceedings. It's the system that automatically records the videos.

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]



[Quoted text hidden]

EXHIBIT 3

EXHIBIT 3



Alexander Falconi <admin@ournevadajudges.com>

Urgent: Zoom Access

Moapa Valley JC Public Response <MoapaValleyJCPR@clarkcountynv.gov>

Mon, Mar 23, 2026 at 2:44 PM

To: Alexander Falconi <admin@ournevadajudges.com>

Hello,

As of right now in the outlying courts policy is that we don't send JAVS video recordings out to anyone. That is currently in the works to be possibly changed, we are waiting to hear from the DA that our courts deal with on doing it or not. Once we hear from him, if its approved for us to send out JAVS recordings we will have a form on our website that can be filled out and sent to the court for those requests. Again, though as of right now it is not in our policy to offer those recordings. Please feel free to reach out in the near future on if that was approved or not.

[Quoted text hidden]