

Heather S. Jimmerson
CLERK OF THE COURT

ORDR

THE JIMMERSON LAW FIRM, P.C.

James J. Jimmerson, Esq.

Nevada State Bar No. 00264

The Plaza at Summerlin

1635 Village Center Circle, Suite 200

Las Vegas, Nevada 89135

Telephone: (702) 388-7171

Facsimile: (702) 380-6422

jimmerson@jimmersonlawfirm.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff;

XXXXXXXXXX

**DISTRICT COURT, FAMILY DIVISION
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

XXXXXXXXXX

Plaintiff,

vs.

XXXXXXXXXX

Defendant.

CASE NO. D-21-XXXXX-P

DEPT. NO: D

FINAL ORDER MODIFYING PHYSICAL AND LEGAL CUSTODY

THIS MATTER coming on for Evidentiary Hearing on the 4th day of December, 2025, Plaintiff, XXXXXXXXXXXX ("Dad"), appearing in person and by and through his counsel, JAMES J. JIMMERSON, ESQ. of The Jimmerson Law Firm, P.C., and Defendant, XXXXXXXXXXXX ("Mom"), appearing in person and by and through her counsel, ABIRA GRIGSBY, ESQ. of The Grigsby Law Group, and the Court having heard the stipulations of the parties, and a full, final, and binding agreement having been reached between the parties, each having sworn they enter into it voluntarily, free of duress, coercion, or undue influence, and each having had the benefit of advice from competent counsel, and each having considered their respective rights and obligations, and the best interests of their child; and the Court having ruled on remaining disputed issues, and good cause appearing, the Court FINDS and ORDERS as follows:

1 **THE HEREBY COURT FINDS** that it has complete jurisdiction over the
2 parties hereto and the subject matter hereof; that the parties were never married
3 but have one (1) minor child, JRG-A, born [REDACTED] 2015; and that they have reached
4 a full, final, and binding agreement as to the care, custody, and control of JRG-A as
5 detailed below.

6 **THE COURT FURTHER FINDS** that regardless of the settlement the
7 existing no-contact order between Mom and the minor child remains in full force
8 and is indefinite, pending future hearings to determine Mom's progress and
9 substantial compliance with all requirements in this case and Case No. J-24-
10 [REDACTED] ("the J case").

11 **THE COURT FURTHER FINDS** that contact between Mother and the child
12 is currently terminated, and any future consideration of contact must be brought
13 before the Court, regardless of developments in her criminal case. Before Mother
14 may petition for contact, she must complete the substantial list of conditions below,
15 including recommendations from her therapist and the child's therapist, and
16 additional procedural requirements as detailed below. The Court will not remove or
17 modify any conditions as part of the settlement.

18 **THE COURT FURTHER FINDS** that it is paramount to any request by Mom
19 for future contact with the child that she have substantial compliance with the
20 disposition plan and overall case plan in the "J" case.

21 **THE COURT FURTHER FINDS** that Mom may not initiate contact with the
22 child prior to the criminal no contact order being lifted (or move in the criminal case
23 to lift that no contact order) since the child is a witness in that case and she used
24 the prior contact to interrogate the child and influence his testimony.

25 **THE COURT FURTHER FINDS** that by stipulation there is sufficient
26 evidence for the Court to Find that factors NRS 125C.0035(4)(a), (c), (d), (e), (f), (g),
27 (h), and (j), with the other factors being inapplicable, would each support the
28 custody modification being stipulated to by preponderance of the evidence, and that

1 there is sufficient evidence for the Court to Find that NRS 125C.0035(5) supports
2 the custody modification being stipulated to herein by clear and convincing
3 evidence. The parties asked the Court to adopt such Findings, and the Court hereby
4 makes such Findings as part of this Order.

5 **THE COURT FURTHER FINDS** that it does not have the authority to modify
6 child support retroactively prior to November 25, 2025. If the Court grants Mom's
7 motion to reduce child support, it would be starting December 2025, or the Court
8 could grant Mom a five-day credit for November 25-30, 2025. *Tr. 7:1-16.*

9 **THE COURT FURTHER FINDS** that that parties' agreements are binding
10 pursuant to EDCR 5.601 and EDCR 7.50.

11 **THE COURT FURTHER FINDS** that regardless of what the parties'
12 agreement is regarding contact between Mom and the minor child, the same shall
13 require a Court Order and may not be delegated to therapists. *See Harrison v.*
14 *Harrison*, 132 Nev., Adv. Op. 56, 376 P.3d 173 (2016). *Tr 13:18-14:2.*

15 **THE COURT FURTHER FINDS** that part of the no-contact order is to
16 prevent any attempt to overtly or otherwise to influence the child's testimony or
17 willingness to testify in the criminal case. Thus, the Court believes it is a reasonable
18 requirement that there be no contact until the resolution of the criminal case. *Tr.*
19 *17:6-9.*

20 **THE COURT FURTHER FINDS** that the parties were sworn and each
21 assented that they were entering into the agreement voluntarily, free of duress,
22 coercion, or undue influence, each being bright and understanding the English
23 language, each being satisfied with their counsel's representation and guidance,
24 and knowing the terms recited and understanding the Orders of the Court and the
25 multiple conditions that must be satisfied before initiating contact with the minor
26 child, and that this is a final and binding agreement. *Tr. 30:7-*

27 **THE COURT FURTHER FINDS** that these Orders serve the minor child's
28 best interests.

1 **NOW, THEREFORE:**

2 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED** that Dad shall
3 have sole physical custody and sole legal custody of the minor child, JRG-A.

4 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED** that prior to
5 Mom initiating reunification contact:

6 (1) Mom must have substantial compliance of all conditions outlined
7 within the “J” case Disposition Report, Safety Plan, and its attached Case
8 Plan, attached hereto as **Exhibit 1** and wholly incorporated herein (the
9 January 29, 2025 Order in the “J” case which makes compliance an Order is
10 attached as **Exhibit 2**), and Mom shall sign all HIPAA releases to permit
11 Dad to verify the satisfaction of those conditions;

12 (2) both Mom’s therapist and the Child’s therapist (in consultation
13 with the child and his desires/emotional needs/comfort level) must deem
14 reunification to be appropriate and not emotionally injurious to the minor
15 child;

16 (3) Mom must attend and participate in ongoing therapy and
17 consistent management with a qualified provider to address and manage her
18 diagnosis of Borderline Personality Disorder, Generalized Anxiety Disorder,
19 ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~ and History of Impulse Control Disorder Not Otherwise
20 Specified, including with a therapist trained in Dialectical Behavior Therapy
21 (DBT), and in “services to address her lack of impulse control, both as it
22 relates to sexual behavior and general conduct” and “her lack of self-
23 awareness and inability to understand her protective role as a parent,” as
24 outlined in Exhibit 1;

25 (4) Mom must complete random drug testing and test negative for six
26 consecutive months prior to any contact with the minor child, with a six-hour
27 notice to Mom and with the parties equally dividing the cost for such tests;
28

1 (5) Mom must complete a substance abuse counseling program,
2 including for her use of cocaine; and

3 (6) the criminal case must be finally adjudicated or resolved and the
4 “no contact” order in the criminal case must have been terminated.

5 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED** that whether the
6 parties agree or not, the Court Orders that Mom shall have no contact in spite of
7 any other conditions having been met for her to have contact, until there is a
8 resolution of the criminal charges by a finding of guilt or innocence by a judge or
9 jury. At that point, this Court’s concern is eliminated because there would be no
10 need for the child to have to testify. *Tr. 18:17-25.*

11 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED** that, to be clear,
12 the No Contact Order remains in full force and effect forever, except if the child’s
13 and Mom’s therapists believe that a meeting with the child and both therapists
14 present is appropriate for them to determine what recommendations they can make
15 going forward. If the two therapists decide that contact between the child and Mom
16 can occur, and will not be emotionally injurious to the minor child, Mom can file a
17 Motion with the Court. However, that is not to suggest that the other conditions,
18 imposed either in the “J” case or in this case are waived, and all such conditions
19 need to be complied with as well before contact can occur. *Tr. 21:7-17.*

20 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED** that in addition
21 to the requirements of the “J” case plan, Mom must submit to substance abuse
22 testing, evaluation, and counseling if recommended, as well as have six months of
23 consecutive negative random drug test results. This provision cannot be directly
24 added to the “J” case plan but is required before Mom can be found in compliance
25 therewith. *Tr. 28:3-13.*

26 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED** that Dad shall
27 have sole legal custody of the child. However, Dad shall provide Mom with monthly
28 updates regarding the child, including any travel itinerary for any out of state travel

1 with the child, the child's grades, progress reports, any significant school events or
2 extracurricular activities, any material medical or dental conditions, and any
3 emergency that comes up.

4 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED** that the Court's
5 Orders entered after March 24, 2025 that are not in conflict with the terms of this
6 agreement shall remain in full force and effect and be incorporated into this Order,
7 including, but not limited to, the Order permitting Dad to travel internationally
8 with the minor child without Mom's written consent. This Order shall serve as
9 Mom's written consent for any such international travel. Such Orders are attached
10 hereto as **Exhibit 3** and incorporated herein by reference to the extent they do not
11 conflict with this Order or were not superseded by later Orders.

12 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED** that per the
13 Court's *Order From June 13, 2025 Hearing*, filed July 13, 2025, child support was
14 set from Mom to Dad in the sum of \$1,189.00 per month, retroactive to October 1,
15 2024, with payments beginning June 2025, and on or before the first day of every
16 month thereafter. Mom was ordered to pay Dad an additional monthly sum of
17 \$150.00 per month towards the arrearages commencing July 1, 2025, making her
18 total payment, starting July 1, 2025 and every 1st day of each month thereafter, the
19 sum of \$1,339.00 per month, until all arrearages have been paid in full. Once the
20 arrearages have been paid in full, Mom's monthly payment is \$1,189 per month.

21 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED** that child
22 support arrears have accrued and are estimated to be approximately \$13,500 owed
23 by Mom to Dad. The parties will calculate the arrears between October 1, 2024 and
24 November 30, 2025, and said amount shall be paid in a lump sum by Mom to Dad,
25 on or before December 8, 2025 at close of business. The arrears figure under the
26 Court's last order was \$11,890. The parties would add to that one-month's payment
27 for November 2025 of \$1,189 and whatever the legal interest would be on those
28 amounts, based upon NRS 99.040 of two percent over prime. The Schedule of

1 Arrearages filed December 11, 2025 calculated the total sum owed with interest to
2 be \$13,736.15. Mom paid \$3,500 on December 8, 2025 and \$8,500 on December 9,
3 2025, and a Zelle payment of \$373.11 on December 9, 2025, leaving a balance due
4 and owing of \$1,363.04 as of November 30, 2025.

5 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED** that the parties
6 stipulated to a three-month temporary reduction in child support to \$103.00 per
7 month, for the months of August, September, and October 2025. Child support
8 returned to \$1,189.00 per month starting November 1, 2025. During the temporary
9 reduction period, Mom was required to contemporaneously provide Dad
10 documentation of any work offered and income earned from any sources and was
11 affirmatively required to look for work or additional sources of income. If Mom made
12 such efforts and was unsuccessful, and the parties were unable to reach an
13 agreement after a meet and confer, then Mom was permitted to file a Motion if she
14 sought to modify or reduce child support after October 31, 2025.

15 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED** that Mom filed
16 such a Motion on November 25, 2025. Dad filed an Opposition on December 3, 2025,
17 and the Court held a hearing on December 11, 2025. The Court's decision on
18 whether or not child support should be reduced from its current Order of \$1,189.00
19 per month, for November 25, 2025 forward, is pending and shall be separately
20 determined by the Court. Until and unless such reduction is granted, child support
21 remains at \$1,189.00 per month from November 1, 2025 forward.

22 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED** that child
23 support shall be enforced going forward by the District Attorney's Office, Child
24 Support Division.

25 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED** that decision on
26 Dad's request for attorneys' fees and costs, which the Court took under submission
27 on June 13, 2025, is hereby deferred. Each party preserves their right to pursue an
28 award of attorneys' fees and costs from the reopening of the custody case in March,

2024 forward, now or in the event of future litigation between the parties. Neither party is waiving their right to seek attorneys' fees and costs in connection therewith and each party waives any limitations for the Court to make such an award.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the parties explicitly waive the provisions of *McMonigle v. McMonigle*, 110 Nev. 1407, 887 P.2d 742 (1994) and its progeny with respect to all evidence and testimony after June 9, 2021 that was or could have been presented by either party, in the event of future litigation between the parties (identified by their respective disclosures and witness lists). This means that should Mom bring an action for modification of custody in the future, Dad shall retain the right to present evidence and testimony that he intended to present at the Trial presently scheduled in this matter, and the stipulation between the parties to resolve this case shall not be a bar to his doing so. This provision also applies if Mom moves to modify custody based on completion of her case plan, because by the settlement, Dad is foregoing his ability to admit the expert reports and other evidence impacting other best interest factors separate from the "J" case issues.

NOTICES REQUIRED BY STATUTE

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the following statutory notices relating to the custody and support of minor children are applicable to the parties:

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that pursuant to NRS 125C.0045(6):

PENALTY FOR VIOLATION OF ORDER: THE ABDUCTION, CONCEALMENT OR DETENTION OF A CHILD IN VIOLATION OF THIS ORDER IS PUNISHABLE AS A CATEGORY D FELONY AS PROVIDED IN NRS 193.130. NRS 200.359 provides that every person having a limited right of custody to a child or any parent having no right of custody to the child who willfully detains, conceals or removes the child from a parent, guardian or other person having lawful custody or a right of visitation of the child in violation of an order of this court, or removes the

1 child from the jurisdiction of the court without the consent of either the court or all
2 persons who have the right to custody or visitation is subject to being punished for a
3 category D felony as provided in NRS 193.130.

4 NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that pursuant to NRS 125C.0045(7)(8):

5 The terms of the Hague Convention of October 25, 1980, adopted by the 14th
6 Session of the Hague Conference on Private International Law, apply if a parent
7 abducts or wrongfully retains a child in a foreign country as follows:

8 If a parent of the child lives in a foreign country or has significant commitments
9 in a foreign country:

10 (a) The parties may agree, and the court shall include in the order for custody
11 of the child, that the United States is the country of habitual residence of the child
12 for the purposes of applying the terms of the Hague Convention as set forth in
13 subsection 7.

14 (b) Upon motion of one of the parties, the court may order the parent to post a
15 bond if the court determines that the parent poses an imminent risk of wrongfully
16 removing or concealing the child outside the country of habitual residence. The bond
17 must be in an amount determined by the court and may be used only to pay for the
18 cost of locating the child and returning the child to his or her habitual residence if
19 the child is wrongfully removed from or concealed outside the country of habitual
20 residence. The fact that a parent has significant commitments in a foreign country
21 does not create a presumption that the parent poses an imminent risk of wrongfully
22 removing or concealing the child.

23 NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that, pursuant to NRS 125C.006:

24 1. If PRIMARY PHYSICAL CUSTODY has been established pursuant to an
25 order, judgment or decree of a court and the custodial parent intends to relocate his
26 or her residence to a place outside of this State or to a place within this State that is
27 at such a distance that would substantially impair the ability of the other parent to
28

1 maintain a meaningful relationship with the child, and the custodial parent desires
2 to take the child with him or her, the custodial parent shall, before relocating:

3 (a) Attempt to obtain the written consent of the noncustodial parent to relocate
4 with the child; and

5 (b) If the noncustodial parent refuses to give that consent, petition the court
6 for permission to relocate with the child.

7 2. The court may award reasonable attorney's fees and costs to the custodial
8 parent if the court finds that the noncustodial parent refused to consent to the
9 custodial parent's relocation with the child:

10 (a) Without having reasonable grounds for such refusal; or

11 (b) For the purpose of harassing the custodial parent.

12 3. A parent who relocates with a child pursuant to this section without the
13 written consent of the noncustodial parent or the permission of the court is subject to
14 the provisions of NRS 200.359.

15 NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that pursuant to NRS 125C.010(1)(b), for
16 purposes of visitation rights of a child, the State of Nevada or the state where the
17 child resides within the United States of America is the habitual residence of the
18 child.

19 NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the parent having the child support
20 obligation is subject to NAC 425.025 and NRS 31A.010 through 31A.350, inclusive,
21 regarding the immediate withholding or assignment of wages, commissions or
22 bonuses for payment of child support, whether current or delinquent.

23 NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that pursuant to NRS 125B.145 and NAC
24 425.170, either party may request that the Court review the child support obligation
25 every three years or upon changed circumstances.

26 NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that pursuant to NAC 425.165 and NRS
27 425.620, if the order pertains to more than one child and does not allocate a specific
28 amount of the total child support obligation to each child, and if you want to adjust

1 the amount of child support established in this order, you MUST file a motion to
2 modify the order with or submit a stipulation to the court. If a motion to modify the
3 order is not filed or a stipulation is not submitted, the child support obligation
4 established in this order will continue until such time as all children who are the
5 subject of this order reach 18 years of age or, if the youngest child who is subject to
6 this order is still in high school when he or she reaches 18 years of age, when the child
7 graduates from high school or reaches 19 years of age, whichever comes first. Unless
8 the parties agree otherwise in a stipulation, any modification made pursuant to a
9 motion to modify the order will be effective as of the date the motion was filed.

10 NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that each party shall submit the information
11 required in NRS 125B.055, NRS 125.130, and 125.230 on a separate form to the Court
12 and the Welfare Division of the Department of Human Resources within ten days
13 from the date this Decree is filed. Such information shall be maintained by the Clerk
14 in a confidential manner and not part of the public record. The parties shall update
15 the information filed with the Court and the Welfare Division of the Department of
16 Human Resources within ten days should any of that information become inaccurate.

17 NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that you have an affirmative duty to update any
18 changes in your personal information by filing a Notice of Change of Address form.


19 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED** that the
20 Evidentiary Hearing set for December 8, 2025 and December 11, 2025 is hereby
21 VACATED.

22 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED** that a hearing on
23 the Motion and Opposition/Counter-motion regarding child support is set for
24 December 11, 2025 at 1:30 pm.

25 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED** that all evidence
26 submitted in this matter shall be reserved, and the parties may return to Court
27 should future disputes arise regarding custody.

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that Mr.
2 Jimmerson shall prepare the Order, and provide to Ms. Grigsby to review and sign
3 off.
4
5
6
7

8 Dated this 10th day of April, 2026

9 

10 40B 696 EB7C 5944
11 Robert W. Teuton
12 District Court Judge
13
14

15 THE JIMMERSON LAW FIRM , PC

16 /s/ James J. Jimmerson
17 JAMES J. JIMMERSON, ESQ.
18 Nevada Bar No. 0264
19 The Plaza at Summerlin
1635 Village Center Circle, Ste 200
Las Vegas, Nevada 89135
Attorney for Plaintiff,


THE GRIGSBY LAW GROUP

DID NOT RESPOND
ABIRA GRIGSBY, ESQ. Nevada
Bar No. 10308
2880 W. Sahara Avenue
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
Attorney for Defendant,
