REC'D& FILLU 2828 OCT 26 PM 3: 55

AUBREY

IN THE FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR CARSON CITY

FRED KRAUS, an individual registered to vote in Clark County, Nevada, DONALD J. TRUMP FOR PRESIDENT, INC.; the NEVADA REPUBLICAN PARTY,

CASE NO. 20 OC 00142 1B

DEPT.

2

Petitioners,

VS.

BARBARA CEGAVSKE, in her official capacity as Nevada Secretary of State, JOSEPH P. GLORIA, in his official capacity as Registrar of Voters for Clark County, Nevada.

Respondents.

ORDER GRANTING NEWS REPORTERS ACCESS

Procedural Background

THIS MATTER comes before the Court on a request by **Alexander Falconi**, to be granted permission to hear and observe the video-conference hearing on October 28, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. (PST) under SCR 230(1). The court administrator notified the attorneys of record of the request.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

Applicable Rules

Supreme Court Rules (SCR) 229 through 246 govern the coverage of a proceeding by a news reporter. SCR 229(2).

There is a presumption that all courtroom proceedings open to the public are subject to electronic coverage. SCR 230(2).

A written request for permission to provide electronic coverage must be filed 24 hours before the proceeding commences, but a judge may grant a request on shorter notice or waive the request requirement. SCR 230(1).

In determining whether electronic coverage will be allowed a judge shall consider the following factors:

- a) The impact of coverage upon the right of any party to a fair trial;
- b) The impact of coverage upon the right of privacy of any party or witness;
- c) The impact of coverage upon the safety and well-being of any party, witness or juror;
- d) The likelihood that coverage would distract participants or would detract from the dignity of the proceedings;
- e) The adequacy of the physical facilities of the court for coverage; and
- f) Any other factor affecting the fair administration of justice. SCR 230(2).

If any news reporter fails to comply with the conditions prescribed by the judge, the judge may revoke that individual's permission to provide electronic coverage of the proceeding. Rule 231(1).

This authorization may be revoked at any time without prior notice when, in the judge's discretion, it appears that electronic coverage of the judicial proceedings is interfering in any way with the proper administration of justice. Rule 231(2).

News reporters shall not interrupt a court proceeding with a technical or equipment problem. SCR 233(6).

The decorum and dignity of the court, the courtroom, and the proceedings must

be maintained at all times. SCR 236(2).

Video, photography or audio reproductions may only be used for educational or informational purposes, and may not be used for unrelated advertising purposes. SCR 241(1).

The official court record of any proceeding is the transcript of the original notes of the court reporter or court recorder made in open court. Videos, photographs or audio reproductions made in a court proceeding as a result of these rules shall not be considered as part of the official court record. SCR 241(2).

News reporters shall have no greater rights of access than the public. SCR 242(2).

The judge who knows that the proceeding will be covered by news reporters shall advise the attorneys and parties in the proceeding of this fact and call these rules to the attention of the attorneys, unrepresented parties and the pool coordinator or designee. It shall be the responsibility of attorneys to notify their witnesses. SCR 245.

Findings of Fact

Alexander Falconi wants to observe and hear the proceedings regarding the Kraus v. Cegavske and other's motion for a preliminary injunction.

Analysis

Under the circumstances it does not appear:

- a) The coverage will have any impact upon the right of any party to a fair trial;
- b) The coverage will not adversely affect the right of privacy of any party or witness;
- c) The coverage will not adversely affect the safety or well-being of any party,
 witness or juror;
- d) The coverage will distract participants or detract from the dignity of the proceedings;
- e) The physical facilities of the court are adequate for the coverage; and

f) No other factor affects the fair administration of justice.

Conclusions of Law

The Petition should be granted.

Order

IT IS ORDERED:

The request to observe and hear the proceedings is granted

- If any news reporter fails to comply with the conditions prescribed by the judge, the judge may revoke that individual's permission to provide electronic coverage of the proceeding.
- 2. This authorization may be revoked at any time without prior notice when, in the judge's discretion, it appears that electronic coverage of the judicial proceedings is interfering in any way with the proper administration of justice.
- 3. The decorum and dignity of the court, the courtroom, and the proceedings must be maintained at all times.
- 4. Video, photography or audio reproductions may only be used for educational or informational purposes, and may not be used for unrelated advertising purposes.
- 5. The official court record of any proceeding is the transcript of the original notes of the court reporter or court recorder made in open court. Videos, photographs or audio reproductions made in a court proceeding as a result of these rules shall not be considered as part of the official court record.
- 6. The judicial assistant will provide the attorneys and parties in the proceeding a copy of this order. It shall be the responsibility of attorneys to notify their witnesses.

- The hearing scheduled on October 28, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. shall be held 7. telephonically or through video conferencing. The parties shall appear either telephonically or through video conferencing by following these steps:
 - To join telephonically dial in with a phone to 1-571-317a) 3112 with the access code 641-554-157.
 - To join through video conferencing by using a computer, b) tablet, or smart phone with both video and audio capabilities use the following link -

https://global.gotomeeting.com/join/641554157

The reporters will mute their phone/computer during all of the 8. proceedings.

October <u>**26**</u>, 2020.

James Eyllus J ames E. Wilson Jr. istrict Judge

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

1 2 I certify that I am an employee of the First Judicial District Court of Nevada; that on October 60, 2020 I served a copy of this document by: 3 Deposited for mailing at Carson City, Nevada; 4 X5 Faxed/emailed; and/or 6 Caused to be delivered by messenger service, 7 8 Brian R. Hardy, Esq. 10001 Park Run Drive David O'Mara, Esq. 311 E. Liberty Street 9 Las Vegas, NV 89145 Reno, NV 89501 david@omaralaw.net bhardy@maclaw.com 10 MaryAnn Miller Office of the District Attorney Bradley Schrager, Esq. 3556 E. Russell Road Second Floor 11 Civil Division 12 500 S. Grand Central Parkway Las Vegas, NV 89106 Mary-Anne.Miller@clarkcountyda.com Las Vegas, NV 89120 Bschrager@wrs.awvers.com 13 Gregory L. Zunino, Esq. Office of the Attorney General 14 Daniel Bravo, Esq. 3556 E. Russell Road 100 North Carson Street 15 Second Floor Carson City, NV 89701 Las Vegas, NV 89120 dbravo@wrslawyers.com Gzunino@ag.nv.gov 16 17 Alexander Falconi, Administrator ournevadajudges.com 18 19 20 21 1 Moder 22 23 Judicial Assistant 24

25

26

27

28