CLERK OF THE COUR **MOT** 1 Emily McFarling, Esq. Nevada Bar Number 8567 2 MCFARLING COHEN FIC & SQUIRES 6230 W. Desert Inn Road 3 Las Vegas, NV 89146 (702) 565-4335 phone 4 (702) 732-9385 fax 5 eservice@mcfarlinglaw.com Attorney for Our Nevada Judges, Inc. 6 EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT 7 FAMILY DIVISION 8 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA** 9 Case Number: D-18-XXXXX Department: X 10 Plaintiff, 11 VS. Oral Argument Requested: □Yes ☒ No 12 13 Defendant. 14 15 MOTION TO UNSEAL CASE FILE INDICES ONLY 16 /// 17 /// 18 /// 19 20 ///

Electronically Filed 12/11/2025 11:34 PM Steven D. Grierson

Case Number: D-18-XXXXX

i

2
 3
 4

<sup>1</sup> <a href="https://www.clarkcountycourts.us/Anonymous/default.aspx">https://www.clarkcountycourts.us/Anonymous/default.aspx</a> and <a href="https://www.clarkcountycourts.us/portal">https://www.clarkcountycourts.us/portal</a>

NOTICE: YOU MAY FILE A WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THIS MOTION WITH THE CLERK OF THE COURT AND PROVIDE THE UNDERSIGNED WITH A COPY OF YOUR RESPONSE WITHIN 14 DAYS OF YOUR RECEIPT OF THIS MOTION. FAILURE TO FILE A WRITTEN RESPONSE WITH THE CLERK OF THE COURT WITHIN 14 DAYS OF YOUR RECEIPT OF THIS MOTION MAY RESULT IN THE REQUESTED RELIEF BEING GRANTED BY THE COURT WITHOUT HEARING PRIOR TO THE SCHEDULED HEARING DATE.

COMES NOW, Our Nevada Judges, Inc., a Nevada non-profit corporation, by and through the undersigned counsel, and hereby files a motion to unseal case file indices only.

This motion is based upon the following memorandum of points and authorities, and the exhibits attached hereto.

## **MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

An SCR 229(1)(c) non-party news reporter may file a motion to unseal. SRCR 4(2). The aforementioned cases are monitored for electronic coverage by Our Nevada Judges, Inc. (hereinafter 'ONJ'). The process of sending manual email inquiries to monitor these dockets is unnecessarily wasteful of judicial and non-profit resources. These cases are already accessible, but the Clerk should be directed to restore access to the court indices<sup>1</sup>, which District Court Judges Vincent Ochoa, Mari Parlade, and

Robert Teuton, have already ordered in similarly covered cases, D-25-703770-P, D-20-607245-C, and D-21-621154-P; respectively.

This judicial district justified its conversion of unmarried child custody actions to paternity actions via EDCR 5.207. But this court rule was struck down as unconstitutional. *Falconi v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct.*, 140 Nev., Advance Op. 8 (2024). The Supreme Court admonished this judicial district in a writ petition granted on September 12, 2024, in docket no. 88412, rejecting the application of EDCR 5.207 because "this matter is a child custody action, arising under NRS Chapter 125C where the SRCR would apply[.]" Indeed, even the Second Judicial District Court ended its practice of electronically concealing *bona fide* paternity actions. **Exhibit 1. Exhibit 2.** 

Press access to the courtroom is a constitutional issue. See *Falconi* v. *Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct.*, 140 Nev., Advance Op. 8 (2024). "A court's authority to limit or preclude public access to judicial records and documents stems from three sources: constitutional law, statutory law, and common law." *Howard v. State*, 128 Nev. 736, 291 P. 3d 137 (2012). "[D]omestic press outlets unquestionably have standing to challenge access to court documents." *United States v. James*, 663 F. Supp. 2d 1018, 1020 (W.D. Wash. 2009) (citation omitted). The *Falconi* Court broadly expanded the scope of the ruling in *Stephens Media, LLC. v. Eighth Judicial District Court*,

125 Nev. 849, 221 P. 3d 1240 (2009) from criminal proceedings to all civil proceedings, including family law proceedings. Analogously, electronic court indices go beyond mere court records and are preliminarily required for efficient monitoring and accessing any court file.

Even if this Court came to the conclusion that certain interpretations of law could allow electronic court indices to be hidden from the press, this Court must adopt the interpretation that is constitutional. This is because "when the language of a statute admits of two constructions, one of which would render it constitutional and valid and the other unconstitutional and void, that construction should be adopted which will save the statute." *State v. Castaneda*, 126 Nev. 478, 481, 245 P.3d 550, 553 (2010).

For these several reasons, ONJ hereby requests that the Court order the Clerk to reveal the electronic court indices to the public.

DATED this 10<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2025.

## MCFARLING COHEN FIC & SQUIRES

/s/ Emily McFarling

Emily McFarling, Esq.
Nevada Bar Number 8567
6230 W. Desert Inn Road
Las Vegas, NV 89146
(702) 565-4335
Attorney for Our Nevada Judges, Inc

## **DECLARATION OF ALEXANDER FALCONI**

I, Alexander Falconi, declare that I have read the foregoing Motion and that the contents are true and correct of my own knowledge, except for those matters I have stated that are not of my own personal knowledge, but that I only believe them to be true, and as for those matters, I do believe they are true.

I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of Nevada and the United States (NRS 53.045 and 28 USC § 1746), that the foregoing is true and correct.

EXECUTED this 12/10/2025

Alexander M. Facloni
205 N. Stephanie Street
Suite D#170

Henderson, NV 89074 Our Nevada Judges

admin@ournevadajudges.com