

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

CAPRICORN I, 1983 TRUST;
CAPRICORN II, 1989 TRUST AND
DENNIS B. ROBERTS, AN
INDIVIDUAL,
Petitioners,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
CLARK, AND THE HONORABLE
MICHAEL L. DOUGLAS, DISTRICT
JUDGE,

Respondents,

and

DAVID F. CHARLES, M.D.; MARGARET
B. CHARLES; ASHLEY PLACE
CORPORATION A/K/A ASHLEY
PLACE, INC., A COLORADO
CORPORATION; AND NUVU, INC.,
A/K/A NU VU, INC., A NEVADA
CORPORATION,
Real Parties in Interest.

No. 42376

FILED

NOV 25 2003

JANETTE M. BLOOM
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY *J. Richards*
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK


ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR
WRIT OF MANDAMUS OR PROHIBITION


This original petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition challenges a district court order that requires the posting of a supersedeas bond as a condition for staying enforcement of the judgment in this partnership dissolution case. We have considered the petition, and we are not satisfied that this court's intervention by way of extraordinary relief is warranted.¹ Accordingly, we deny the petition.² Petitioners' motions for a stay are denied as moot.


¹NRS 34.160; NRS 34.320; Smith v. District Court, 107 Nev. 674, 818 P.2d 849 (1991) (stating that the issuance of extraordinary relief is

continued on next page . . .

It is so ORDERED.


_____, C.J.
Agosti


_____, J.
Rose


_____, J.
Maupin

cc: Hon. Michael L. Douglas, District Judge
Dickerson, Dickerson, Consul & Pocker
Law Offices of John M. Netzorg
Clark County Clerk

... continued

purely within this court's discretion); McCulloch v. Jeakins, 99 Nev. 122, 659 P.2d 302 (1982) (stating that a district court may permit security other than a supersedeas bond under unusual circumstances).

²See NRAP 21(b).