IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

EVA A. BOZARTH,
Petitioner,
vs.
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
CLARK, AND,THE HONORABLE
KATHY A. HARDCASTLE, DISTRICT
JUDGE,
Respondents,
and
LEE K. HARTMAN, ESQ.

No. 41976

FILED

JAN 0 8 2004

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

BY

CHEEF DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS OR PROHIBITION

This original petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition challenges a district court order adjudicating an attorney's lien. A writ of prohibition may issue only when there is no plain, speedy, and adequate remedy at law. Similarly, a writ of mandamus will not issue if petitioner has a plain, speedy and adequate remedy in the ordinary course of law. An appeal is generally an adequate remedy, precluding writ relief. Here, petitioner was a party to the underlying action, and had a right of appeal

Real Party in Interest.

¹NRS 34.330.

²NRS 34.170.

³See Pengilly v. Rancho Santa Fe Homeowners, 116 Nev. 646, 647-48 n.1, 5 P.3d 569, 570 n.1 (2000).

from the district court's order. Thus, extraordinary relief is not available,⁴ and we deny the petition.

It is so ORDERED.

Beckel, J.

J.

Agosti

Gibbons, J.

cc: Hon. Kathy A. Hardcastle, District Judge Thomas F. Pitaro Potter Law Offices Christensen Law Offices, Chtd. Clark County Clerk

⁴We note that petitioner voluntarily dismissed an appeal from the order challenged in this writ petition. <u>See</u> Bozarth v. Melatti, Docket No. 41852 (Order Dismissing Appeal, August 18, 2003).