IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

CARSON CITY, A CONSOLIDATED MUNICIPALITY AND POLITICAL SUBDIVISION OF THE STATE OF NEVADA; AND MARK JEROME BOWERS,

Petitioners.

vs.

THE FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR CARSON CITY, AND THE HONORABLE WILLIAM A. MADDOX, DISTRICT JUDGE,

Respondents,

and

DAN DEANE DENNY AND MADLYN DENNY,

Real Parties in Interest.

No. 41948

FILED

OCT 1 5 2003

CLERK OF SURREME COURT
BY CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS OR PROHIBITION

This original petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition challenges a district court order that denied a motion to strike a request for trial de novo. A writ of mandamus is available to compel the performance of an act that the law requires as a duty resulting from an office, trust or station, or to control an arbitrary or capricious exercise of

¹See NRS 34.160.

JUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

03-17157

discretion.² A writ of prohibition may be issued to compel government bodies or officials to cease performing acts beyond their legal authority.³

We have considered this petition, and we are not satisfied that our intervention by way of extraordinary relief is warranted at this time. Accordingly, we deny the petition.⁴

It is so ORDERED.

Becker, J.

Shearing J.
Gibbons

cc: Hon. William A. Maddox, District Judge Carson City District Attorney Attorney General Brian Sandoval/Transportation Division Karen L. Winters Carson City Clerk

²See Round Hill Gen. Imp. Dist. v. Newman, 97 Nev. 601, 637 P.2d 534 (1981).

³NRS 34.320; <u>Ashokan v. State, Dep't of Ins.</u>, 109 Nev. 662, 856 P.2d 244 (1993).

⁴See NRAP 21(b).