

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

RAYMOND E. STANCEL,  
Appellant,  
vs.  
SUSAN DOLORES WILLIAMS,  
Respondent.

No. 41585

FILED

OCT 09 2003

ANNE M. DE JUAN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
DEPUTY CLERK


ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE


This is a proper person appeal from a district court order extending a restraining order.<sup>1</sup>

In his notice of appeal, appellant contends that his due process rights were violated when the district court denied his motion for the appointment of counsel, granted Judge Polaha's motion to quash without first allowing him the opportunity to oppose the motion, and extended the restraining order.

Having reviewed the record on appeal, we conclude that the district court did not err.<sup>2</sup> Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.<sup>3</sup>

 \_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Rose

 \_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Leavitt

 \_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Maupin

<sup>1</sup>We construe the district court order as a preliminary injunction. Therefore, the order is appealable under NRAP 3A(b)(2).

<sup>2</sup>See NRS chapter 33 (authorizing the issuance of an extended restraining order following a hearing when domestic violence is involved, but not authorizing the appointment of counsel); NRCP 45(b) (providing that a motion to quash may be granted if a subpoena is unreasonable or oppressive).

<sup>3</sup>Although appellant was not granted leave to file papers in proper person, see NRAP 46(b), we have considered the proper person documents received from appellant.

cc: Hon. Scott Jordan, District Judge, Family Court Division  
Raymond E. Stancel  
Susan Dolores Williams  
Washoe District Court Clerk