

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

G. DALLAS HORTON, IN HIS  
INDIVIDUAL AND PROFESSIONAL  
CAPACITY; AND G. DALLAS HORTON  
& ASSOCIATES, A NEVADA  
PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION,  
Petitioners,

vs.

THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF NYE,  
AND THE HONORABLE JOHN P.  
DAVIS, DISTRICT JUDGE,

Respondents,

and

HARLEY KULKIN, AN INDIVIDUAL;  
DEANNA KULKIN, AN INDIVIDUAL;  
VERNON VANWINKLE, AN  
INDIVIDUAL; KPVM-TV, A NEVADA  
CORPORATION D/B/A KPVM-TV  
CHANNEL 41; AND ANDREW PAUL  
JONES,

Real Parties in Interest.

No. 41513

**FILED**

JUN 24 2003


ANETTE M. BLOOM  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY *[Signature]*  
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS


This original petition for a writ of mandamus seeks to compel the district court to adjudicate and enforce an attorney's lien. We have considered the petition and supporting documents, and we conclude that this court's intervention by extraordinary writ is not warranted. Petitioners are parties in the underlying action and have an adequate

legal remedy; once all claims in the action are finally resolved, any aggrieved party may appeal.<sup>1</sup> Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.<sup>2</sup>

  
Shearing J.

  
Leavitt J.

  
Becker J.

cc: Hon. John P. Davis, District Judge  
G. Dallas Horton & Associates  
Pico & Mitchell  
Nancy Lord Johnson  
Andrew P. Jones  
Minicozzi Law Offices, Ltd.  
Nye County Clerk

---

<sup>1</sup>NRAP 3A(b)(1); see NRS 34.170 (providing that a writ of mandamus may issue if there is no plain, speedy and adequate remedy at law); Pengilly v. Rancho Santa Fe Homeowners, 116 Nev. 646, 647-48 n.1, 5 P.3d 569, 570 n.1 (2000) (noting that an appeal is generally an adequate remedy).

<sup>2</sup>NRAP 21(b).