

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

STEPHEN SZCZEPANIK,
Petitioner,

vs.

NEVADA STATE ASSEMBLY
JUDICIARY COMMITTEE, ASSEMBLY
BILL NO. 188, SECTION 3,
Respondent.

No. 41269

STEPHEN SZCZEPANIK,
Petitioner,

vs.

NEVADA STATE ASSEMBLY
COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY,
ASSEMBLY BILL NO. 102,
Respondent.

No. 41302

FILED

MAY 16 2003

JANETTE M. BLOOM
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY *J. Richards*
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING PETITIONS


These proper person petitions, which we construe as seeking extraordinary relief,¹ challenge two assembly bills, one that relates to prisoner litigation and another that pertains to prisoners' eligibility for

¹See NRS 34.160 (setting forth standard for mandamus petitions); NRAP 21(a). Although petitioner styles his document as a petition for declaratory relief under NRS 30.040, a declaratory judgment is properly sought in the district court and may not be filed initially in this court. See Beko v. Kelly, 78 Nev. 489, 376 P.2d 429 (1962); NRCP 57.

parole. We have reviewed the petitions, and we conclude that our intervention is not warranted. Accordingly, we deny them.²

It is so ORDERED.


_____, J.
Shearing


_____, J.
Leavitt


_____, J.
Becker

cc: Stephen Szczepanik
Lorne Malkiewich

²Petitioner was not granted leave to proceed in proper person under NRAP 46(b), but we have received and considered his documents. We grant his request to waive the filing fee. See NRAP 21(e).