IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

STEPHEN SZCZEPANIK,	No. 41269
Petitioner,	
vs.	
NEVADA STATE ASSEMBLY	
JUDICIARY COMMITTEE, ASSEMBLY	
BILL NO. 188, SECTION 3,	
Respondent.	
STEPHEN SZCZEPANIK,	No. 41302
Petitioner,	開始25日 月 夏 (長が25日) 高いた 。
vs.	
NEVADA STATE ASSEMBLY	
COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY,	MAY 1 6 2003
ASSEMBLY BILL NO. 102,	
Respondent.	UANETTE M BLOOM
	BY ALEC DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING PETITIONS

These proper person petitions, which we construe as seeking extraordinary relief,¹ challenge two assembly bills, one that relates to prisoner litigation and another that pertains to prisoners' eligibility for

OF Nevada

(O) 1947A

The production of the

¹See NRS 34.160 (setting forth standard for mandamus petitions); NRAP 21(a). Although petitioner styles his document as a petition for declaratory relief under NRS 30.040, a declaratory judgment is properly sought in the district court and may not be filed initially in this court. <u>See</u> <u>Beko v. Kelly</u>, 78 Nev. 489, 376 P.2d 429 (1962); NRCP 57.

parole. We have reviewed the petitions, and we conclude that our intervention is not warranted. Accordingly, we deny them.²

It is so ORDERED.

J. Shearing cart J. Leavitt

Borker J.

Becker

cc: Stephen Szczepanik Lorne Malkiewich

²Petitioner was not granted leave to proceed in proper person under NRAP 46(b), but we have received and considered his documents. We grant his request to waive the filing fee. <u>See NRAP 21(e)</u>.