

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

KIM BLANDINO,
Appellant,
vs.
NANCY LINDLER, N/K/A NANCY
BRADSHAW,
Respondent.

No. 40756

FILED

SEP 05 2003

JANETTE M. BLOOM
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY *J. Rubande*
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

This is a proper person appeal from a district court order concerning appellant's visitation with the parties' minor children.

Our review of the documents transmitted under NRAP 3(e) reveals a jurisdiction defect. Under NRAP 3A(a), only an aggrieved party may appeal from a judgment or order. A party is "aggrieved" within the meaning of NRAP 3A(a) when a district court's order adversely and substantially affects either a personal right or right of property.¹ Here, the district court's December 2002 order does not adversely affect appellant's rights, as the order provides appellant with greater visitation rights than previously provided by the district court. In particular, the order awards appellant regularly scheduled visitation with the children, as well as telephone contact and access to the children's medical and

¹Valley Bank of Nevada v. Ginsburg, 110 Nev. 440, 874 P.2d 729 (1994).

school records. Accordingly, appellant does not appear aggrieved by the December 2002 order. Because we lack jurisdiction, we

ORDER this appeal DISMISSED.²

Becker, J.
Becker

Shearing, J.
Shearing

Gibbons, J.
Gibbons

cc: Hon. T. Arthur Ritchie, District Judge, Family Court Division
Kim Blandino
Nancy Bradshaw
Clark County Clerk

²We note that appellant's failure to pay the filing fee required by NRS 2.250(1)(a) could constitute an independent basis on which to dismiss this appeal.