IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

STEVEN SAMUEL BRAUNSTEIN, Petitioner,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK, AND THE HONORABLE DONALD M. MOSLEY, DISTRICT JUDGE,

Respondents,

and

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Real Party in Interest.

STEVEN SAMUEL BRAUNSTEIN, Petitioner.

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK, AND THE HONORABLE DONALD M. MOSLEY, DISTRICT JUDGE,

Respondents,

and

THE STATE OF NEVADA.

Real Party in Interest.

No. 40667

FILED

JAN 1 6 2003



No. 40668

ORDER DENYING PETITIONS

These are proper person petitions for writs of mandamus.¹
Petitioner complains that contrary to this court's prior order of September
9, 2002, the district court did not transfer his habeas corpus petitions to a

¹We elect to consolidate these petitions for disposition.

different department and conducted further ex-parte proceedings on the petitions.² We have considered the petitions on file herein, and we are not satisfied that this court's intervention by way of extraordinary relief is warranted at this time.³ The documents attached to these petitions indicate that the proceedings below conducted on November 26, 2002, were unrelated because the habeas corpus petitions considered on November 26, 2002, were filed subsequent to this court's order of September 9, 2002. We are confident that the district court will comply with the terms of this court's order of September 9, 2002. Further, this court may review any alleged irregularities in the proceedings conducted on November 26, 2002, in the appeals from the denial of petitioner's September 23, 2002 habeas corpus petitions currently pending in this court in Docket Nos. 40667, and 40678. Accordingly, we

ORDER the petitions DENIED.4

Rose, J.

Mangin, J.

Gibbons

²Braunstein v. State, Docket No. 37685, 37761 (Order of Affirmance in Part and Reversal and Remand in Part, September 9, 2002).

³See NRS 34.160; NRS 34.170.

⁴We have considered all proper person documents filed or received in these matters, and we conclude that the relief requested is not warranted.

cc: Hon. Donald M. Mosley, District Judge Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney Steven Samuel Braunstein Clark County Clerk