IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ROBERT KALE SHAWHAN, Petitioner, vs. THE NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF DOUGLAS, AND THE HONORABLE DAVID R. GAMBLE, DISTRICT JUDGE, Respondents, and DONNA KNOLL SHAWHAN, Real Party in Interest.

ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

This original petition for a writ of mandamus challenges a district court order denying petitioner's motion to change venue. A writ of mandamus is an extraordinary remedy that will not be granted if petitioner has a plain, speedy and adequate remedy in the ordinary course of law.¹ Generally, an appeal constitutes an adequate legal remedy.² An order granting or denying a motion to change venue is an appealable determination.³ In fact, a party <u>must</u> appeal from such an order within

²<u>Guerin v. Guerin</u>, 114 Nev. 127, 953 P.2d 716 (1998). ³NRAP 3A(b)(2).

OF NEVADA

and the second second

¹NRS 34.170; <u>Round Hill Gen. Imp. Dist. v. Newman</u>, 97 Nev. 601, 637 P.2d 534 (1981).

thirty days or the right becomes foreclosed.⁴ Because petitioner could appeal from the order denying change of venue, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.

J. Shearing J. Leavitt J. Becker Hon. David R. Gamble, District Judge cc: Gary E. Gowen Donna Knoll Shawhan **Douglas County Clerk** ⁴NRAP 3A(b)(4).

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA