	-	
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF T	HE STATE OF NEVADA	
	हिन्दरोत हैं" है केस्ट्राय रिंट इ.स. र	
DANTE ROBINSON,	No. 40224	
Appellant,	no	1 5 2002
vs.		
CITY OF LAS VEGAS,	18,25 2013 0	L'ELOOM SUPPEME CONF
Respondent.		<u>ichal</u>
DANTE ROBINSON,	No. 40225	
Appellant,		
VS.		
CITY OF LAS VEGAS,		
Respondent.	-	
DANTE ROBINSON,	No. 40226	
Appellant,		
vs.		
CITY OF LAS VEGAS,		
Respondent.		

ORDER DISMISSING APPEALS

These are proper person appeals from orders of the district court dismissing appeals from the municipal court. Our review of these appeals reveals a jurisdictional defect. Specifically, appellant's cases arose in the municipal court. The district court has final appellate jurisdiction

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

over a case arising in the municipal court.¹ Accordingly, we conclude that we lack jurisdiction to consider these appeals, and we

ORDER these appeals DISMISSED.



cc: Hon. Valorie Vega, District Judge Las Vegas City Attorney Dante Robinson Clark County Clerk

¹Nev. Const. art. 6, § 6; <u>Tripp v. The City of Sparks</u>, 92 Nev. 362, 550 P.2d 419 (1976).

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA