## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JAVIER MANJARREZ. Appellant, VS. THE STATE OF NEVADA. Respondent.

No. 40113

FILED

DEC 23 2003

JANETTE M. BLOOM

## ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is an appeal from a judgment of conviction, pursuant to a guilty plea, of one count of trafficking in a controlled substance. district court sentenced appellant to a prison term of 25 years, with parole eligibility after 10 years.

Appellant's sole contention is that the district court abused its discretion at sentencing because the sentence is too harsh. We conclude that appellant's contention is without merit.

This court has consistently afforded the district court wide discretion in its sentencing decision.<sup>1</sup> This court will refrain from interfering with the sentence imposed "[s]o long as the record does not demonstrate prejudice resulting from consideration of information or accusations founded on facts supported only by impalpable or highly suspect evidence."2 Moreover, a sentence within the statutory limits is not cruel and unusual punishment where the statute itself is constitutional,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>See Houk v. State, 103 Nev. 659, 747 P.2d 1376 (1987).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Silks v. State, 92 Nev. 91, 94, 545 P.2d 1159, 1161 (1976).

and the sentence is not so unreasonably disproportionate as to shock the conscience.<sup>3</sup>

In the instant case, appellant does not allege that the district court relied on impalpable or highly suspect evidence or that the relevant statute is unconstitutional. Further, we note that the sentence imposed was within the parameters provided by the relevant statute.<sup>4</sup>

Having considered appellant's contention and concluded that it is without merit, we

ORDER the judgment of conviction AFFIRMED.

Rose , J.

J.

Leavitt

Mengs, J.

Maupin

cc: Hon. Steven P. Elliott, District Judge
Gamboa & Stovall
Attorney General Brian Sandoval/Carson City
Washoe County District Attorney Richard A. Gammick
Washoe District Court Clerk

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Blume v. State, 112 Nev. 472, 475, 915 P.2d 282, 284 (1996) (quoting <u>Culverson v. State</u>, 95 Nev. 433, 435, 596 P.2d 220, 221-22 (1979)).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>See NRS 453.3385(3)(b).