

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

MICHAEL A. CLARK,
Appellant,
vs.
CITY OF LAS VEGAS,
Respondent.

No. 39946

FILED

AUG 23 2002

JANETTE M. BLOOM
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

No. 39948

BY *[Signature]*
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

MICHAEL A. CLARK,
Appellant,
vs.
CITY OF LAS VEGAS,
Respondent.

No. 39950

MICHAEL A. CLARK,
Appellant,
vs.
CITY OF LAS VEGAS,
Respondent.

No. 39952

MICHAEL A. CLARK,
Appellant,
vs.
CITY OF LAS VEGAS,
Respondent.

No. 39953

MICHAEL A. CLARK,
Appellant,
vs.
CITY OF LAS VEGAS,
Respondent.

No. 39954

MICHAEL A. CLARK,
Appellant,
vs.
CITY OF LAS VEGAS,
Respondent.

MICHAEL A. CLARK,
Appellant,
vs.
CITY OF LAS VEGAS,
Respondent.

No. 39955

ORDER DISMISSING APPEALS

These are proper person appeals from an order of the district court dismissing appeals from the municipal court.¹ We elect to consolidate these appeals for disposition.² Our review of these appeals reveals jurisdictional defects. Specifically, appellant's cases arose in the municipal court. The district court has final appellate jurisdiction over a case arising in the municipal court.³ Accordingly, we conclude that we


¹In the notices of appeal, appellant states that he is appealing to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. However, appellant filed the notices of appeal in state court in the Eighth Judicial District. Because appellant filed the notices of appeal in state district court, we conclude that the clerk of the district court properly transmitted the notices of appeal to this court. See NRAP 3(e). Accordingly, we elect to construe the notices of appeal to be an attempt to appeal to this court.

²NRAP 3(b).

³Nev. Const. art. 6, § 6; Tripp v. The City of Sparks, 92 Nev. 362, 550 P.2d 419 (1976).

lack jurisdiction to consider these appeals, and we
ORDER these appeals DISMISSED.

 J.
Shearing

 J.
Rose

 J.
Becker

cc: Hon. Jeffrey D. Sobel, District Judge
Las Vegas City Attorney
Michael A. Clark
Clark County Clerk