

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

MICHELLE VEZINA,  
Appellant,  
vs.  
MARK ALAN VEZINA,  
Respondent.

No. 39938

**FILED**

SEP 04 2003

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

JANETTE M. BLOOM  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY *Richard*  
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

This is a proper person appeal from a district court order concerning child custody and support. Having reviewed the record on appeal, we conclude that the district court did not abuse its discretion.<sup>1</sup> Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.

*Becker*  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Becker

*Shearing*  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Shearing

*Gibbons*  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Gibbons

<sup>1</sup>See Wallace v. Wallace, 112 Nev. 1015, 1019, 922 P.2d 541, 543 (1996) (holding that matters of custody, including visitation, rest in the sound discretion of the trial court); Sims v. Sims, 109 Nev. 1146, 865 P.2d 328 (1993) (concluding that the district court enjoys broad discretionary powers in determining child custody issues and this court will not disturb the district court's judgment absent a clear abuse of discretion); NRS 125.480(1) (providing that the sole consideration in awarding custody of a child is the best interest of the child); NRS 125B.070(1)(b)(3) (establishing a formula that a noncustodial parent's monthly child support obligation for three children is set at 29% of the parent's gross monthly income); see also Jackson v. Jackson, 111 Nev. 1551, 1555, 907 P.2d 990, 993 (1995) (noting that a parent's relative income under NRS 125B.080(9) may be notably increased due to a cohabitant's contributions to the parent's expenses).

cc: Hon. Robert W. Lueck, District Judge, Family Court Division  
Dickerson, Dickerson, Consul & Pocker  
Michelle Vezina  
Clark County Clerk