

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

CHRISTOPHER A. JONES,  
Petitioner,  
vs.  
SERITA PERRY, INVEST. SPECIALIST,  
LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE  
DEPARTMENT,  
Respondent,  
and  
MICHELE D. HAMMOND,  
Real Party in Interest.

No. 39586

FILED

MAY 15 2002

JANETTE M. BLOOM  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY J. Roberts  
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

This proper person petition for a writ of mandamus seeks to compel the respondent to investigate and prosecute petitioner's complaint that the real party in interest embezzled from him.<sup>1</sup> We have considered this petition, and we are not satisfied that this court's intervention by way of extraordinary relief is warranted at this time.<sup>2</sup> Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.

Shearing, J.  
Shearing  
Rose, J.  
Rose  
Becker, J.  
Becker

<sup>1</sup>Although petitioner was not granted leave to file papers in proper person, see NRAP 46(b), we have considered the proper person documents received from him. We note that petitioner did not serve the petition on the real party in interest, nor did he attach documents necessary to an understanding of the matters set forth in the petition. See NRAP 21(a).

<sup>2</sup>See NRAP 21(b); Smith v. District Court, 107 Nev. 674, 818 P.2d 849 (1991); cf. Jones v. District Court, 67 Nev. 404, 411-12, 219 P.2d 1055, 1059 (1950) (noting that investigation and prosecution of crimes are matters within the discretion of law enforcement).

cc: Christopher Anthony Jones  
Serita Perry  
Michele D. Hammond