

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ANTHONY CARRAL,
Appellant,
vs.
CITY OF RENO, NEVADA, BY AND
THROUGH THE RENO POLICE
DEPARTMENT,
Respondent.

No. 39488

FILED
NOV 20 2002

NOV 20 2002

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

BY *Richard*
CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT
BY *Richard*
CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

This is a proper person appeal from a district court order denying appellant's motion to set aside a default judgment in a forfeiture case. We have reviewed the record, and we conclude that the district court did not abuse its discretion in denying appellant's motion.¹ Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.

Young C.J.
Young
Rose J.
Rose
Agosti J.
Agosti

¹See NRCP 60(b)(1) (authorizing a district court to relieve a party from a final judgment because of, among other things, excusable neglect); Kahn v. Orme, 108 Nev. 510, 513, 835 P.2d 790, 792-93 (1992) (stating that neglect is excusable when there has been prompt application to remove the judgment, an absence of intent to delay the proceedings, a lack of knowledge of procedural requirements, and good faith); see also Epstein v. Epstein, 113 Nev. 1401, 1405, 950 P.2d 771, 773 (1997) (overruling the requirement that a party show a meritorious defense to set aside a judgment); Kahn, 108 Nev. at 513, 835 P.2d at 792 (noting that this court reviews an order denying NRCP 60(b) relief for an abuse of discretion).

cc: Hon. Connie J. Steinheimer, District Judge
Reno City Attorney
Anthony Carral
Washoe District Court Clerk