## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

KIM BLANDINO, Petitioner, vs. THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK, AND THE HONORABLE T. ARTHUR RITCHIE, JR., DISTRICT JUDGE, FAMILY COURT DIVISION, Respondents, and NANCY LINDLER, N/K/A NANCY BRADSHAW, Real Party in Interest. No. 39439

02-09856

## **ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR**

## WRIT OF MANDAMUS OR PROHIBITION

This proper person original petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition seeks an order directing the district court to grant petitioner in forma pauperis status, grant petitioner's request that the real party in interest and a psychologist be held in contempt and sanctioned, and grant petitioner's motion for a new trial and motion to alter or amend judgment. We have considered this petition and the documents attached to it, and we

Supreme Court of Nevada

(O) 1947A

are not satisfied that this court's intervention by way of extraordinary relief is warranted at this time.<sup>1</sup> Accordingly, we deny the petition.

It is so ORDERED.<sup>2</sup>

J. You J. Agosti J. Leavitt

cc: Hon. T. Arthur Ritchie Jr., District Judge, Family Court Division Kim Blandino Nancy Bradshaw Clark County Clerk

<sup>1</sup>See NRAP 21(b); <u>Smith v. District Court</u>, 107 Nev. 674, 818 P.2d 849 (1991).

<sup>2</sup>Although petitioner was not granted leave to file papers in proper person, <u>see</u> NRAP 46(b), we have considered the proper person documents received from him. We deny petitioner's April 5, 2002 motion.

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA