## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

SEAN RODNEY ORTH, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. No. 39163



## ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is an appeal from a judgment of conviction, pursuant to a guilty plea, of one count of conspiracy to possess a controlled substance. The district court sentenced appellant to a jail term of 12 months, and ordered that the sentence be served consecutively to the sentence imposed in another case.

Appellant's sole contention on appeal is that the district court abused its discretion by sentencing appellant to a consecutive rather than a concurrent sentence. We conclude that appellant's contention is without merit.

This court has consistently afforded the district court wide discretion in its sentencing decision.<sup>1</sup> This court will refrain from interfering with the sentence imposed "[s]o long as the record does not demonstrate prejudice resulting from consideration of information or accusations founded on facts supported only by impalpable or highly suspect evidence."<sup>2</sup> Moreover, a sentence within the statutory limits is not

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>See Houk v. State, 103 Nev. 659, 747 P.2d 1376 (1987).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Silks v. State, 92 Nev. 91, 94, 545 P.2d 1159, 1161 (1976).

cruel and unusual punishment where the statute itself is constitutional, and the sentence is not so unreasonably disproportionate as to shock the conscience.<sup>3</sup>

In the instant case, appellant does not allege that the district court relied on impalpable or highly suspect evidence or that the relevant statutes are unconstitutional. Further, we note that the sentence imposed is within the parameters provided by the relevant statutes.<sup>4</sup> Moreover, it is within the district court's discretion to impose consecutive sentences.<sup>5</sup>

Having considered appellant's contention and concluding that it is without merit, we

ORDER the judgment of conviction AFFIRMED.6

Young, J.

Agosti

J.

J.

Agosti

J.

J.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Blume v. State, 112 Nev. 472, 475, 915 P.2d 282, 284 (1996) (quoting <u>Culverson v. State</u>, 95 Nev. 433, 435, 596 P.2d 220, 221-22 (1979)).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>See MRS 453.336(2); NRS 199.480(3).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>See NRS 176.035(1); <u>Warden v. Peters</u>, 83 Nev. 298, 429 P.2d 549 (1967).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>We have considered all proper person documents filed or received in this matter, and we conclude that the relief requested is not warranted.

cc: Hon. James W. Hardesty, District Judge Bruce D. Voorhees Attorney General/Carson City Washoe County District Attorney Washoe District Court Clerk