

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JULIUS CHRISTOPHER A/K/A JUSTIN
GLEN CHRISTOPHER,
Appellant,
vs.
LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE
DEPARTMENT,
Respondent.

No. 38783

FILED

JUL 11 2002

WANNETTE M. BLOOM
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY *A. Shoop*
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is a proper person appeal from an order of the district court denying appellant's post-conviction motion for return of seized property.

On August 26, 2000, the district court convicted appellant, pursuant to a guilty plea, of one count of possession of a controlled substance. The district court sentenced appellant to serve a maximum term of thirty months in the Nevada State Prison, with a minimum parole eligibility of twelve months.


On October 1, 2001, appellant filed a proper person post-conviction motion for return of seized property in the district court. The State opposed the petition. Appellant responded to the State's opposition. On October 18, 2001, the district court denied appellant's motion. This appeal followed.


In his motion, appellant claimed that, because no forfeiture action has been initiated, the \$1,416.00 seized by the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department at the time of his arrest must be returned. This claim is without merit. Appellant stipulated to the forfeiture of the money as part of his plea agreement. Appellant signed a


guilty plea agreement which referenced the forfeiture. Therefore, pursuant to the guilty plea agreement, appellant forfeited any and all right, title and interest in the money.

Having reviewed the record on appeal, and for the reasons set forth above, we conclude that appellant is not entitled to relief and that briefing and oral argument are unwarranted.¹ Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.²


_____, J.
Young


_____, J.
Agosti


_____, J.
Leavitt

cc: Hon. Joseph T. Bonaventure, District Judge
Attorney General/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney
Julius Christopher
Clark County Clerk

¹See Luckett v. Warden, 91 Nev. 681, 682, 541 P.2d 910, 911 (1975).

²We have considered all proper person documents filed or received in this matter, and we conclude that the relief requested is not warranted.