FILED
11/18/2026
ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ALEXANDER OCASIO, Petitioner, vs. THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK; THE HONORABLE TIERRA DANIELLE JONES; THE HONORABLE MICHAEL A. CHERRY; THE HONORABLE MARK R. DENTON; TIMOTHY F. ANDREWS, AS CLERK OF THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, Respondents, and THE STATE OF NEVADA EMPLOYMENT SECURITY DIVISION; KRISTINE NELSON, IN HER CAPACITY AS ADMINISTRATOR OF THE EMPLOYMENT SECURITY DIVISION; BRIAN BRACKEN, IN HIS CAPACITY AS CHAIRPERSON OF THE EMPLOYMENT SECURITY DIVISION BOARD OF REVIEW; EASTSIDE CONVENTION CENTER LLC D/B/A CAESARS FORUM CONFERENCE CENTER; AND CAESARS ENTERPRISE SERVICES LLC, Real Parties in Interest.	No. 93427-COA

ORDER DENYING PETITION

This original pro se petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition challenges various district court orders, including an order remanding for further administrative proceedings, in an unemployment benefits matter. A writ of mandamus is available to compel the performance of an act that the law

requires as a duty resulting from an office, NRS 34.160, or to control an arbitrary or capricious exercise of discretion, *Int'l Game Tech., Inc. v. Second Jud. Dist. Ct.*, 124 Nev. 193, 197, 179 P.3d 556, 558 (2008). A writ of prohibition arrests the proceedings of a tribunal that is acting in excess of, or without, jurisdiction. NRS 34.320. The decision to entertain a petition for extraordinary writ relief is within our sole discretion, and the petitioner has the burden of demonstrating that such relief is warranted. *Pan v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct.*, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004). Having considered the petition and the supporting documents, we conclude that petitioner has not carried his burden of demonstrating that extraordinary relief is warranted. In particular, we are not convinced that an appeal from a final order following remand would not be an adequate and speedy remedy. *See Int'l Game Tech.*, 124 Nev. at 197, 179 P.3d at 558 (recognizing that "an appeal from [a] final judgment typically constitutes an adequate and speedy legal remedy"); NRAP 3A(b)(1) (regarding the appealability of final judgments). Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.[1]

Bulla, C.J.

Gibbons, J.

Westbrook, J.

[1]We deny any relief regarding petitioner's challenge to the caption in this matter based on the November 11, 2026, notice filed by counsel for the State of Nevada Employment Security Division. We further decline to require any real party in interest to provide an executed oath of office in light of this order denying writ relief. We also deny petitioner's motion for leave to file a supplement regarding events that happened after the filing of the petition and direct the clerk of this court to strike the supplement filed on November 2, 2026.

cc: Littler Mendelson, P.C./Las Vegas
State of Nevada/DETR - Carson City
Alexander Ocasio
Hon. Mark R. Denton, District Judge
Hon. Tierra Danielle Jones, District Judge
Hon. Michael A. Cherry, Senior Judge
Hon. Cheryl B. Moss, Senior Judge
Clerk of the Eighth Judicial District Court, in and for Clark County, Nevada
The Eighth Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada, in and for the County of Clark