

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN THE MATTER OF THE PARENTAL
RIGHTS AS TO R. W. E., II, AND G, A.
E.

No. 38533

ROBERT E.,
Appellant,

vs.

THE STATE OF NEVADA
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN
RESOURCES, DIVISION OF CHILD
AND FAMILY SERVICES,
Respondent.

FILED

MAR 04 2003

JANETTE M. BLOOM
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY *J. Richards*
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is an appeal from the district court's order terminating the parental rights of Robert W. E.


Robert first argues that there is insufficient evidence to support the district court's finding of parental fault and conclusion that termination was in the children's best interests. We conclude, however, that substantial evidence supports the district court's order terminating parental rights.¹


Robert next argues that the presumptions in NRS 128.109 are unconstitutional. We conclude that this argument lacks merit.


¹Matter of Parental Rights as to N.J., 116 Nev. 790, 795, 8 P.3d 126, 129 (2000) (observing that this court will uphold the district court's order terminating parental rights provided substantial evidence supports that the district court's conclusion was established by clear and convincing evidence).

Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.


_____, J.
Rose


_____, J.
Maupin


_____, J.
Gibbons

cc: Hon. Gerald W. Hardcastle, District Judge, Family Court Division
Connolly & Fujii
Attorney General Brian Sandoval/Las Vegas
Clark County Clerk