



JOSEPH BOYD,
Petitioner,
v.
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN
AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK et al.,
Respondents,
and
VAMIS ALLURI et al.,
Real Parties in Interest.

No. 92866-COA

ORDER DENYING PETITION

This is a pro se original petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition challenging a district court order granting a motion to compel and awarding attorney fees as sanctions in a civil matter. A writ of mandamus is available to compel the performance of an act that the law requires as a duty resulting from an office, NRS 34.160, or to control an arbitrary or capricious exercise of discretion, *Int'l Game Tech., Inc. v. Second Jud. Dist. Ct.*, 124 Nev. 193, 197, 179 P.3d 556, 558 (2008). A writ of prohibition arrests the proceedings of a tribunal that is acting in excess of, or without, jurisdiction. NRS 34.320. The decision to entertain a petition for extraordinary writ relief is within our sole discretion, and the petitioner has the burden of demonstrating that such relief is warranted. *Pan v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct.*, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004). Having considered the petition and the supporting documents, we conclude that petitioner has not carried his burden of demonstrating that extraordinary relief is warranted. Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "Bulla".

Bulla, C.J.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "Gibbons".

Gibbons, J.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "Westbrook".

Westbrook, J.

cc: Hon. Mark R. Denton
McDonald Carano LLP/Las Vegas
Joseph Boyd
The Eighth Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada, in and for the County
of Clark