IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

SOUTHERN NEVADA WATER AUTHORITY, A POLITICAL SUBDIVISION OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Petitioner,
vs.
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE ANNA C. ALBERTSON,
Respondents,
and
ALEXANDER NILL, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS MANAGING MEMBER OF GUBERLAND LLC.; MARK AND JENNY LYNNE EDINGTON; AND KIM SNYDER, EACH AN INDIVIDUAL AND REPRESENTIVE OF POTENTIAL CLASS MEMBERS,
Real Parties in Interest.

No. 92378

FILED

APR 20 2026

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS OR PROHIBITION

This emergency petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition challenges a district court order denying petitioner's motion to dissolve a temporary restraining order and dismiss real parties in interest's complaint and granting real parties in interest's motion for an extension of time to amend the complaint as of right.

Mandamus is properly requested to compel the district court to perform a legally required act or to correct a manifest abuse of discretion. NRS 34.160; *Walker v. Second Jud. Dist. Ct.*, 136 Nev. 678, 680, 476 P.3d 1194, 1196-97 (2020). Prohibition may issue when a district court exceeds

26-18075

its jurisdiction. NRS 34.320. Whether a petition seeking such relief will be considered by this court, however, is purely discretionary, *Smith v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct.*, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 818 P.2d 849, 851-52 (1991), and it is the petitioner's burden to demonstrate that writ relief is warranted, *Pan v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct.*, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004).

Having reviewed the petition and supporting documents, we conclude that petitioner has not demonstrated that the district court clearly erred, manifestly abused its discretion, or exceeded its jurisdiction such that our extraordinary intervention is warranted. Moreover, it appears that the challenged temporary restraining order has expired or will expire tomorrow, and the standing issues raised have not been finally determined in the district court. *See Walker*, 136 Nev. at 683, 476 P.3d at 1198-99 (discussing the requirements for advisory mandamus). Accordingly, we decline to intervene and

ORDER the petition DENIED.

Pickering, J.
Pickering

Parraguirre, J.
Parraguirre

Bell, J.
Bell

cc: Hon. Anna C. Albertson, Judge
Spencer Fane LLP/Las Vegas
Kemp Jones, LLP
Claggett & Sykes Law Firm
Lex Tecnica LTD
Eighth District Court Clerk