

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

AMINA JOHNSON,
Petitioner,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
CLARK, DEPARTMENT 10; THE
EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND
FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK,
DEPARTMENT 17; THE EIGHTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE
STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE
COUNTY OF CLARK, DEPARTMENT
24; AND THE HONORABLE JERRY A.
WIESE, CHIEF JUDGE

Respondents,

and


GENERAL DYNAMICS; FISHER &
PHILLIPS LLP; SCOTT M. MAHONEY;
JP MORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.; THE
STATE OF NEVADA; BROADSPIRE
SERVICES INC.; AND HOOKS MENG
& CLEMENT,

Real Parties in Interest.

No. 92313-COA

FILED

APR 14 2026

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY 
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING PETITION

This is a pro se petition for a writ of mandamus challenging the jurisdiction of various district court judges in a civil matter. A writ of mandamus is available to compel the performance of an act that the law requires as a duty resulting from an office, NRS 34.160, or to control an arbitrary or capricious exercise of discretion, *Int'l Game Tech., Inc. v. Second Jud. Dist. Ct.*, 124 Nev. 193, 197, 179 P.3d 556, 558 (2008). The decision to entertain a petition for extraordinary writ relief is within our

26-17003

sole discretion, and the petitioner has the burden of demonstrating that such relief is warranted. *Pan v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct.*, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004). Having considered the petition and the supporting documents, we conclude that petitioner has not demonstrated that extraordinary relief is warranted at this time. Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.


_____, C.J.
Bulla


_____, J.
Gibbons


_____, J.
Westbrook

cc: Hon. Jerry A. Wiese, Chief Judge
Amina Johnson
Attorney General/Carson City
Hooks Meng & Clement
Greenberg Traurig, LLP/Las Vegas
Fisher & Phillips LLP
Attorney General/Las Vegas
Eighth District Court Clerk