

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JUAN ROSARIO VERA,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 91285-COA

FILED

FEB 27 2026

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY *[Signature]*
DEPUTY CLERK

*ORDER AFFIRMING IN PART, VACATING IN PART AND
REMANDING*

Juan Rosario Vera appeals from a judgment of conviction, entered pursuant to a guilty plea, of sexual assault and battery with the intent to commit sexual assault of a victim under 16 years of age. Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Ronald J. Israel, Judge.

Vera argues the district court abused its discretion in imposing extradition restitution in the amount of \$5,525 because the amount was not supported by competent evidence. *See Gee v. State*, 140 Nev., Adv. Op. 16, 545 P.3d 90, 94 (2024) (reiterating “restitution awards must be supported by competent evidence”). Vera objected to the amount, arguing there was no evidence submitted to support it other than a single statement in the presentence investigation report (PSI). *Cf. Vaughn v. State*, 141 Nev., Adv. Op. 6, 563 P.3d 295, 303 (2025) (expressing doubt “that a single notation in a PSI . . . can constitute [the] reliable and accurate evidence” required for setting restitution (internal quotation marks omitted)). The State contends remand is warranted so the district court can hold a hearing and the State can provide supporting documentation for the extradition restitution request.

In light of the foregoing, we vacate the extradition restitution award but otherwise affirm the judgment of conviction. We remand this matter to the district court with instructions to conduct a hearing at which the State may present evidence to support the extradition restitution requested and Vera may challenge the amount sought by the State. See *Gee*, 140 Nev., Adv. Op. 16, 545 P.3d at 96 (vacating a restitution award that was not supported by competent evidence and remanding for further proceedings); *Nied v. State*, 138 Nev. 275, 277-78, 509 P.3d 36, 40 (2022) (same); see also *Bolden v. State*, 139 Nev. 448, 454, 538 P.3d 1161, 1167 (Ct. App. 2023) (requiring the district court to comply with the mandatory provisions of NRS 179.225 before imposing extradition restitution). Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of conviction AFFIRMED IN PART AND VACATED IN PART AND REMAND this matter to the district court for proceedings consistent with this order.


_____, C.J.
Bulla


_____, J.
Gibbons


_____, J.
Westbrook

cc: Hon. Ronald J. Israel, District Judge
Clark County Public Defender
Attorney General/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney
Eighth District Court Clerk