## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JOANAH SOSA CIRIACO AND JOAN
IVY SOSA CIRIACO,
Petitioners,
vs.
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
CLARK, AND THE HONORABLE BITA
YEAGER, DISTRICT JUDGE,
Respondents,
and
ELAHE EGHDAMIYAN,
Real Party in Interest.

No. 91447

DEC 1 1 2025

ELIZABETHA BROWN
CLERK OF SUPPLING COURT
BY
PEPUTY CLERK

## ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR A WRIT OF MANDAMUS OR PROHIBITION

This original petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition challenges a district court order denying a motion to enforce a settlement agreement.

Having reviewed the petition and supporting documents, we conclude that petitioners have failed to demonstrate that our extraordinary and discretionary intervention is warranted. Pan v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct., 120 Nev. 222, 224, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 841, 844 (2004) (observing that petitioners bear the burden to show that writ relief is warranted); Smith v. Eighth. Jud. Dist. Ct., 107 Nev. 674, 677, 679, 818 P.2d 849, 851, 853 (1991) (recognizing that writ relief is an extraordinary remedy and that the decision to entertain a writ petition lies within the discretion of this court). In particular, we are not persuaded that an appeal from any adverse final judgment would be an inadequate legal remedy. Pan, 120 Nev. at 224-25, 88 P.3d at 841 (stating that an appeal is generally an adequate remedy and

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(0) 1947A

25-5895b

even when not immediately available because the challenged order is interlocutory in nature, the fact that the order may ultimately be challenged on appeal generally precludes writ relief). Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.

Herndon, C.J.

J.

Bell ,

<u>stigliel</u>, J. Stiglich

cc: Hon. Bita Yeager, District Judge Emerson Law Group Richard Harris Law Firm Eighth District Court Clerk

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A 🚭