


IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

WHITNEY R. LOVELESS A/K/A  
WHITNEY R. MORONES,  
Appellant,  
vs.  
BROOKS G. SLATER,  
Respondent.

No. 91192

**FILED**

**DEC 05 2025**

ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY   
DEPUTY CLERK

*ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL*

This appeal was docketed on August 27, 2025. Because the notice of appeal was not accompanied by the required proof of service, *see* NRAP 3(d)(1) (providing the notice of appeal must be served on all parties to the district court action and must “contain an acknowledgment of service or proof of service that conforms to the requirements of [NRAP] 25(d)”), on August 27, 2025, this court issued a notice directing appellant to provide this court with the required proof of service within 14 days. The notice advised that failure to comply could result in the dismissal of this appeal. *See* NRAP 3(a)(2) (“An appellant’s failure to take any step other than the timely filing of a notice of appeal does not affect the validity of the appeal, but is ground only for the court to act as it deems appropriate, including dismissing the appeal”).

Because appellant had not filed proof of service of the notice of appeal, on September 22, 2025, this court issued an order directing appellant to file proof of service of the notice of appeal within 14 days. Appellant was cautioned that failure to comply would result in the dismissal of this appeal.

On October 2, 2025, appellant filed proof of service of the notice of appeal. However, that document was deficient because it did not include the date and manner of service or the name of each person served. Accordingly, on October 3, 2025, this court entered an order striking that document and giving appellant 7 days to refile a corrected proof of service.

Because appellant had not yet re-filed a corrected proof of service for the notice of appeal, on November 13, 2025, this court entered an order directing appellant to file proof of service of the notice of appeal that complied with this court's rules within 7 days. Appellant was cautioned that failure to comply would result in the dismissal of this appeal. To date, appellants has/have not complied. Accordingly, this appeal is dismissed.

It is so ORDERED.

CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT  
ELIZABETH A. BROWN

BY: 

cc: Hon. Amy Mastin, District Judge, Family Division  
Brooks G. Slater  
Whitney R. Loveless  
Eighth District Court Clerk