

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

CALEB LINDSEY AND CRISTINA
CAMARENA,
Petitioners,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY
OF CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE
MARY KAY HOLTHUS, DISTRICT
JUDGE,

Respondents,

and

KEITH KELLEY,
Real Party in Interest.

No. 91633-COA

FILED

NOV 24 2025

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY *[Signature]*
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING PETITION

This is an emergency pro se petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition challenging various district court actions in a civil case. A writ of mandamus is available to compel the performance of an act that the law requires as a duty resulting from an office, NRS 34.160, or to control an arbitrary or capricious exercise of discretion, *Int'l Game Tech., Inc. v. Second Jud. Dist. Ct.*, 124 Nev. 193, 197, 179 P.3d 556, 558 (2008). A writ of prohibition arrests the proceedings of a tribunal that is acting in excess of, or without, jurisdiction. NRS 34.320. The decision to entertain a petition for extraordinary writ relief is within our sole discretion, and the petitioner has the burden of demonstrating that such relief is warranted. *Pan v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct.*, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004). Having considered the petition and the supporting documents, we conclude that

petitioners have not demonstrated that extraordinary relief is warranted. Accordingly, we deny the petition.

It is so ORDERED.¹


_____, C.J.
Bulla


_____, J.
Gibbons


_____, J.
Westbrook

cc: Hon. Mary Kay Holthus, District Judge
Caleb Lindsey
Cristina Camarena
Karsaz Law
Eighth District Court Clerk

¹In light of this order, we deny as moot petitioners' motions for a stay of the district court proceedings and to file a supplemental declaration.