

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ANTWANN B. AND JESSICA B.,
Petitioners,
vs.
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
CLARK, AND THE HONORABLE
MARGARET PICKARD, DISTRICT
JUDGE,
Respondents,
and
CLARK COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF
FAMILY SERVICES; A.B., JR. AND
A.O., MINOR CHILDREN,
Real Parties in Interest.

No. 91507-COA

FILED

NOV 07 2025

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY  DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING PETITION

This is a pro se petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition challenging the jurisdiction of the district court in a matter arising under NRS Chapter 432B. A writ of mandamus is available to compel the performance of an act that the law requires as a duty resulting from an office, NRS 34.160, or to control an arbitrary or capricious exercise of discretion, *Int'l Game Tech., Inc. v. Second Jud. Dist. Ct.*, 124 Nev. 193, 197, 179 P.3d 556, 558 (2008). A writ of prohibition arrests the proceedings of a tribunal that is acting in excess of, or without, jurisdiction. NRS 34.320. The decision to entertain a petition for extraordinary writ relief is within our sole discretion, and the petitioner has the burden of demonstrating that such relief is warranted. *Pan v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct.*, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004). Having considered the petition and the supporting documents, we conclude that petitioners have not demonstrated that extraordinary relief is warranted. Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.¹


_____, C.J.
Bulla


_____, J.
Gibbons


_____, J.
Westbrook

cc: Hon. Margaret E. Pickard, District Judge, Family Division
Antwann B.
Jessica Marie B.
Clark County District Attorney/Juvenile Division
Legal Aid Center of Southern Nevada, Inc.
Eighth District Court Clerk

¹In light of this decision, we deny as moot petitioners' emergency motion filed on October 24, 2025.