

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

DAVID L. REED,

Appellant,


vs.

THE STATE OF NEVADA IN RELATION
TO THE NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF
CORRECTIONS; JAMES DZURENDA;
CHARLES DANIELS; BRIAN E.
WILLIAMS; CALVIN JOHNSON; JEREMY
BEAN; AARON D. FORD; ALLISON HERR;
JAIMIE STILZ AND THE NEVADA BOARD
OF PAROLE COMMISSIONERS,
Respondents.

No. 91457

FILED

OCT 28 2025

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY 
DEPUTY CLERK


ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

This is a pro se appeal from an interlocutory district court order denying a motion for reconsideration of a motion to extend time for service of summons. Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Erika D. Ballou, Judge.


Review of the notice of appeal and documents before this court reveals a jurisdictional defect. No statute or court rule authorizes an appeal from the aforementioned order. See *Brown v. MHC Stagecoach, LLC*, 129 Nev. 343,

345, 301 P.3d 850, 851 (2013) (this court “may only consider appeals authorized by statute or court rule”). Accordingly, we lack jurisdiction and

ORDER this appeal DISMISSED.¹


Parraguirre, J.


Bell, J.


Stiglich, J.

cc: Hon. Erika D. Ballou, District Judge
David Levoyd Reed
Attorney General/Carson City
Eighth District Court Clerk

¹We note that the August 6, 2025, form “Civil Order to Statistically Close Case” is not a final appealable judgment. *See Brown v. MHC Stagecoach, LLC*, 129 Nev. 343, 347, 301 P.3d 850, 852-53 (2013). Appellant may file a new notice of appeal once the district court enters a written order finally resolving appellant’s complaint.