IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ANGELITO SALAZAR,
Petitioner,
vs.
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
CLARK,
Respondent,
and
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Real Party in Interest.

No. 91187

FILED

SFP 2 4 2025

ELIZABETH A. BYDWN
LERK OKSHPREME CHARRY

DEPUTY OF ERIK

ORDER DENYING PETITION

This pro se original petition seeks a writ of mandamus to vacate a judgment of conviction. Despite petitioner's representation to the contrary, the petition challenges a judgment of conviction and sentence. Those challenges must be presented to the district court in the first instance in a postconviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus. See NRS 34.724(2)(b); NRS 34.738; NRAP 22. We decline to consider the issues raised in the petition for writ of mandamus, see NRS 34.170; NRS 34.330; Pan v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct., 120 Nev. 222, 224, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 841, 844 (2004); Round Hill Gen. Improvement Dist. v. Newman, 97 Nev. 601, 637 P.2d 534 (1981) (recognizing that "an appellate court is not an appropriate forum in which to resolve disputed questions of fact"); State v. Cnty. of Douglas, 90 Nev. 272, 276-77, 524 P.2d 1271, 1274 (1974) (noting that "this court prefers that such an application [for writ relief] be addressed to the discretion of the appropriate district court" in the first instance), abrogated

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

25-41689

on other grounds by *Cortez Masto v. Gypsum Res.*, 129 Nev. 23, 33-34, 294 P.3d 404, 410-11 (2013).

Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.

Herndon, C.J.

Jese 200 J.

Parraguirre

Stiglich, J.

cc: Angelito Salazar
Attorney General/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney
Eighth District Court Clerk

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

11