

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

LISA KING; BRIAN HART; JESSE
LAW; AND RICHARD LEVYCHIN,
Petitioners,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK
AND THE HONORABLE JOSEPH
HARDY, JR., DISTRICT JUDGE,
Respondents,

and

MAMADOU M. COULIBALY,
DERIVATIVELY ON BEHALF OF
VINCO VENTURES, INC.,
Real Party in Interest.

No. 90412

FILED

JUN 18 2025

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY  DEPUTY CLERK

*ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR
WRIT OF MANDAMUS OR PROHIBITION*


This original petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition challenges a district court order denying a motion for summary judgment in a shareholder derivative action.


Having considered the petition and supporting documents, we conclude that our extraordinary intervention is not warranted. An appeal from any adverse judgment provides petitioners with an adequate remedy at law. *See* NRS 34.170; NRS 34.330; *Pan v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct.*, 120 Nev. 222, 224, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 841, 844 (2004) (“[T]he right to appeal is generally an adequate legal remedy that precludes writ relief.”). And this court generally will not consider extraordinary writ petitions challenging a district court order denying summary judgment, “unless summary judgment is clearly required by a statute or rule, or an important issue of law requires clarification.” *Nevada Ass’n Servs., Inc. v. Eighth Jud. Dist.*


25-26938

Ct., 130 Nev. 949, 953-54, 338 P.3d 1250, 1253 (2014). Petitioners have not demonstrated that controlling authority clearly required summary judgment or that an important issue of law requires clarification. *See Pan*, 120 Nev. at 228, 88 P.3d at 844 ("Petitioners carry the burden of demonstrating that extraordinary relief is warranted."). We therefore

ORDER the petition DENIED.¹


_____, C.J.
Herndon


_____, J.
Bell


_____, J.
Stiglich

cc: Hon. Joseph Hardy, Jr., District Judge
Flangas Law Group
Mamadou M. Coulibaly
Eighth District Court Clerk

¹We deny petitioners' stay motion.