## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

MARIO MICALIZZI,

Appellant,

vs.

THE STATE OF NEVADA.

Respondent.

No. 38291

FILED

SEP 28 2001

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

## ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is an appeal from a judgment of conviction, pursuant to a guilty plea, of two counts of burglary. The district court sentenced appellant to two consecutive prison terms of 48 to 120 months. The district court further ordered appellant to pay restitution in the amount of \$9,956.21.

Appellant's sole contention is that the district court abused its discretion by sentencing appellant to consecutive rather than concurrent sentences. We conclude that appellant's contention is without merit.

This court has consistently afforded the district court wide discretion in its sentencing decision.<sup>1</sup> This court will refrain from interfering with the sentence imposed "[s]o long as the record does not demonstrate prejudice resulting from consideration of information or accusations founded on facts supported only by impalpable or highly suspect evidence."<sup>2</sup> Moreover, a sentence within the statutory limits is not cruel and unusual punishment where the statute itself is constitutional, and the sentence is not so unreasonably disproportionate as to shock the conscience.<sup>3</sup>

In the instant case, appellant does not allege that the district court relied on impalpable or highly suspect evidence or that the relevant

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>See Houk v. State, 103 Nev. 659, 747 P.2d 1376 (1987).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Silks v. State, 92 Nev. 91, 94, 545 P.2d 1159, 1161 (1976).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup><u>Blume v. State</u>, 112 Nev. 472, 475, 915 P.2d 282, 284 (1996) (quoting <u>Culverson v. State</u>, 95 Nev. 433, 435, 596 P.2d 220, 221-22 (1979)).

statute is unconstitutional. Further, we note that the sentence imposed is within the parameters provided by the relevant statute.<sup>4</sup> Moreover, it is within the district court's discretion to impose consecutive sentences.<sup>5</sup>

Having considered appellant's contention and concluding that it is without merit, we

ORDER the judgment of conviction AFFIRMED.

Young J.
Agosti J.

J.

Leavitt

cc: Hon. James W. Hardesty, District Judge Attorney General Washoe County District Attorney Paul C. Giese Washoe County Clerk

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>See NRS 205.060(2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup><u>See</u> NRS 176.035(1); <u>Warden v. Peters</u>, 83 Nev. 298, 429 P.2d 549 (1967).