

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

NANCY HAACK,
Appellant,
vs.
SEAN EVENDEN AND ROGER AYALA,
Respondents.

No. 89433

FILED

NOV 18 2024

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY 
DEPUTY CLERK


ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL


This is a pro se appeal from a post-judgment order denying a motion to disqualify a district court judge. Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Jerry A. Wiese, Chief Judge.

This court's review of this appeal reveals a jurisdictional defect. Specifically, it appears that the order designated in the notice of appeal is not substantively appealable. See NRAP 3A(b). The court has jurisdiction to consider an appeal only when the appeal is authorized by statute or court rule. *Taylor Constr. Co. v. Hilton Hotels*, 100 Nev. 207, 678 P.2d 1152 (1984). No statute or court rule provides for an appeal from a post-judgment order denying a motion for judicial recusal. See *Ham v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct.*, 93 Nev. 409, 412, 566 P.2d 420, 422 (1977); *Towbin Dodge, LLC v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct.*, 121 Nev. 251, 254-55, 112 P.3d 1063, 1066 (2005) ("We have previously noted that a petition for a writ of mandamus is the appropriate vehicle to seek disqualification of a judge."); *United States v. Washington*, 573 F.2d 1121, 1122 (9th Cir. 1978) (recognizing that "denial

of a motion to disqualify is not a final order nor one that should be treated as such"). Accordingly, we conclude that we lack jurisdiction, and we

ORDER this appeal DISMISSED.


_____, J.
Herndon


_____, J.
Lee


_____, J.
Bell

cc: Hon. Jerry A. Wiese, Chief Judge
Nancy Haack
The VerStandig Law Firm, LLC
Eighth District Court Clerk