## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

DANIEL CHARLES COOKE,
Petitioner,
vs.
CHRISTOPHER DERICCO,
CHAIRMAN; MARY BAKER AND
SCOTT WEISENTHAL,
COMMISSIONERS AND THE STATE
OF NEVADA BOARD OF PAROLE,
Respondents.

No. 89397

FILED

OCT 28 2024

CLERKIOF SUPPLEME COURT
BY DEPUTY CLERK

## ORDER DENYING PETITION

This is an original petition for a writ of mandamus seeking to compel the State of Nevada Board of Parole Commissioners to reverse and vacate its amended order denying parole.

A writ of mandamus is available to compel the performance of an act that the law requires as a duty resulting from an office, trust, or station or to control an arbitrary or capricious exercise of discretion. See NRS 34.160; Int'l Game Tech., Inc. v. Second Jud. Dist. Ct., 124 Nev. 193, 197, 179 P.3d 556, 558 (2008). Whether a petition for extraordinary writ relief will be entertained rests within this court's sound discretion. D.R. Horton, Inc. v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct., 123 Nev. 468, 474-75, 168 P.3d 731, 736-37 (2007). Petitioner bears the burden of demonstrating that extraordinary relief is warranted. Pan v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct., 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004).

Having reviewed the petition and the limited documents provided in support by petitioner, we conclude that based on the

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

24.40411

information before us, petitioner has failed to meet his burden of demonstrating that extraordinary relief is warranted. Accordingly, we ORDER the petition DENIED.

Cadish Singun

Stiglich

Herndon

Daniel Charles Cooke cc: Attorney General/Carson City