

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

SATICOY BAY LLC; SATICOY BAY
LLC, SERIES 3125 PINEHURST; AND
SATICOY BAY, LLC, SERIES 3125
PINEHURST #D,

Petitioners,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE
MARK R. DENTON, DISTRICT JUDGE,
Respondents,

and

BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON F/K/A
BANK OF NEW YORK AS TRUSTEE
FOR THE
CERTIFICATEHOLDERS OF THE
CWALT, INC. ALTERNATIVE LOAN
TRUST 2005-44,
MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH
CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-44 a,
Real Party in Interest.

No. 88441

FILED

OCT 17 2024

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY 
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING PETITION


This is an original petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition challenging a district court order denying a motion to dismiss in a real property and tort action.

Having considered the petition, answer, reply, and supporting documentation, we are not persuaded that our extraordinary and discretionary intervention is warranted. *See Pan v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct.*, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004) (observing that the party seeking writ relief bears the burden of showing such relief is warranted); *Smith v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct.*, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 679, 818 P.2d 849, 851, 853 (1991)

(recognizing that writ relief is an extraordinary remedy and that this court has sole discretion in determining whether to entertain a writ petition). In this, we are not persuaded that judicial economy would be furthered by considering the writ petition's merits because our resolution of the petition might not resolve the entire district court matter.¹ See *Moore v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct.*, 96 Nev. 415, 416-17, 610 P.2d 188, 189 (1980) (determining that writ relief is not an appropriate remedy when resolution of the writ petition would not dispose of the entire controversy). Additionally, we are not persuaded that an appeal from a final judgment fails to provide petitioners with an adequate legal remedy. See *Pan*, 120 Nev. at 224, 88 P.3d at 841 (recognizing that an appeal from a final judgment is generally an adequate remedy precluding writ relief). Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.


_____, J.
Stiglich


_____, J.
Pickering


_____, J.
Parraguirre

cc: Hon. Mark R. Denton, District Judge
Roger P. Croteau & Associates, Ltd.
Law Offices of Michael F. Bohn, Ltd.
Akerman LLP/Las Vegas
Eighth District Court Clerk

¹It is unclear the extent to which real parties in interests' nine claims are interrelated. It is also unclear whether petitioners' operative pleading asserts a counterclaim.