IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JUSTIN ODELL LANGFORD,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY;
CHIEF OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY
DIVISION OF PAROLE AND
PROBATION; THE STATE OF NEVADA
BOARD OF PAROLE
COMMISSIONERS; AND THE STATE
OF NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF
PUBLIC SAFETY, DIVISION OF
PAROLE AND PROBATION,
Respondents.

No. 88891

FILED

Alig 1 Z 2024

CLERK OF SUFFEME COURT

BY

DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

This is a pro se appeal from district court orders granting respondents' motions to dismiss. Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Jacob A. Reynolds, Judge.

Review of the documents before this court reveals a jurisdictional defect. It appears that appellant's second claim for relief alleging the unlawful enforcement of a parole condition restricting access to the internet against respondent the State of Nevada Department of Public Safety, Division of Parole and Probation, insofar as that claim seeks prospective declaratory and/or injunctive relief, remains pending below. Because this claim remains pending below, the orders challenged on appeal are not appealable as final judgments under NRAP 3A(b)(1) and have not been certified as final under NRCP 54(b). See Lee v. GNLV Corp., 116 Nev. 424, 426, 996 P.2d 416, 417 (2000) ("[A] final judgment is one that disposes

(O) 1947A

of all the issues presented in the case, and leaves nothing for the future consideration of the court, except for post-judgment issues such as attorney's fees and costs."). No other statute or court rule allows for an appeal from the challenged orders. See Brown v. MHC Stagecoach, LLC, 129 Nev. 343, 345, 301 P.3d 850, 851 (2013) (providing that this court "may only consider appeals authorized by statute or court rule"). Accordingly, this court lacks jurisdiction and we

ORDER this appeal DISMISSED.1

Herndon, J.

Lee J.

Bell , J.

cc: Hon. Jacob A. Reynolds, District Judge Justin Odell Langford Attorney General/Carson City Attorney General/Las Vegas Eighth District Court Clerk

¹Given this dismissal, we take no action in regard to the documents filed by appellant on July 11, 2024.