


IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

KARLO PIZARRO,  
Petitioner,  
vs.  
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF  
CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE  
RONALD J. ISRAEL, DISTRICT  
JUDGE,  
Respondents,  
and  
THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
Real Party in Interest.

No. 88286

**FILED**  
JUN 13 2024  
ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY   
DEPUTY CLERK

*ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS*


This original petition for a writ of mandamus challenges a district court order denying petitioner Karlo Pizarro's motion to be assigned to the Gambling Treatment Diversion Court pursuant to NRS 458A.230. Having considered the petition, we conclude that our extraordinary and discretionary intervention is not warranted. *See* NRS 34.160; *Pan v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct.*, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004) (observing that the party seeking writ relief bears the burden of showing such relief is warranted); *Smith v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct.*, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 679, 818 P.2d 849, 851, 853 (1991) (recognizing that writ relief is an extraordinary remedy and that this court has sole discretion in determining whether to entertain a writ petition). In particular, Pizarro has an adequate remedy at law—an appeal from the judgment of conviction. *See Pan*, 120 Nev. at 224, 88 P.3d at 841 (“[T]he right to appeal is generally an adequate legal remedy that

precludes writ relief.”); NRS 34.170; *see also* NRS 177.015(3) (providing for an appeal from a final judgment in criminal cases). Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Herndon

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Lee

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Bell

cc: Hon. Ronald J. Israel, District Judge  
Law Office of Andrew M. Leavitt, Esq.  
Attorney General/Carson City  
Clark County District Attorney  
Eighth District Court Clerk