


IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

KELVIN LANEIL JAMES,  
Petitioner,  
vs.  
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF  
CLARK,  
Respondent,  
and  
THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
Real Party in Interest.

No. 88675

FILED

MAY 23 2024

ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY   
DEPUTY CLERK


*ORDER DENYING PETITION*


This is an original pro se petition for a writ of mandamus challenging petitioner's judgment of conviction.

Petitioner has not provided this court with cogent argument or any documentation in support of his petition as necessary for this court's consideration of the petition. See NRAP 21(a)(4) (providing that petitioners must submit an appendix containing all documents "essential to understand[ing] the matters set forth in the petition"). Petitioner bears the burden of showing that extraordinary relief is warranted. NRS 34.170; *Pan v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct.*, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004). We conclude that petitioner has failed to demonstrate our intervention by extraordinary writ is warranted. *Smith v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct.*, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 818 P.2d 849, 851 (1991) (recognizing that writ relief is an extraordinary remedy and that this court has sole discretion in determining

whether to entertain a writ petition). Therefore, we decline to exercise our original jurisdiction in this matter. See NRAP 21(b). Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.

  
\_\_\_\_\_, C.J.  
Cadish

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Stiglich

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Herndon

cc: Kelvin Laneil James  
Attorney General/Carson City  
Clark County District Attorney  
Eighth District Court Clerk