

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

BENNETT GRIMES,  
Petitioner,  
vs.  
THE STATE OF NEVADA; AND  
NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF  
CORRECTIONS,  
Respondents.

No. 88318

FILED

MAR 29 2024


ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY *[Signature]*  
DEPUTY CLERK

*ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS  
OR PROHIBITION*

This original pro se petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition challenges petitioner's sentence on several grounds and seeks his immediate release from the Nevada Department of Corrections.

Problematically, petitioner has not met his burden to provide this court with any exhibits or documents in support of his petition. See NRAP 21(a)(4) (providing that petitioners must submit an appendix containing all documents "essential to understand[ing] the matters set forth in the petition"). Petitioner bears the burden of showing that extraordinary relief is warranted. See *Pan v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct.*, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004). We conclude that petitioner has failed to demonstrate our intervention by extraordinary writ is warranted. *Smith v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct.*, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 818 P.2d 849, 851 (1991) (recognizing that writ relief is an extraordinary remedy and that this court has sole discretion in determining whether to entertain a writ petition).

Therefore, we decline to exercise our original jurisdiction in this matter. *See* NRAP 21(b). Accordingly, we  
ORDER the petition DENIED.

  
\_\_\_\_\_, C.J.  
Cadish

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Stiglich

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Herndon

cc: Bennett Grimes  
Attorney General/Carson City  
Clark County District Attorney  
Eighth District Court Clerk