

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

DAHNERRO BENNETT-BIDDLE,
Petitioner,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA; WARDEN
OLIVER; AND STATE OF NEVADA
PAROLE BOARD,
Respondents.

No. 88308

FILED

MAR 29 2024

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT
BY 
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION PETITION

This is an original pro se petition for a writ of mandamus and/or prohibition asking this court to compel the Parole Board to reconsider its decision to deny petitioner parole.

Petitioner was convicted in 2017 of conspiracy to commit a violent crime and three counts of robbery with the use of a deadly weapon, and is currently serving an aggregate prison term of 8-25 years. In his petition, petitioner claims that he is entitled to a reconsideration of the Parole Board's decision to deny parole because the denial was based in part on an allegedly inapplicable aggravating factor: "Nature of criminal record is increasingly more serious."

Having considered the petition and documents submitted by petitioner, we are not convinced that our extraordinary and discretionary intervention is warranted. *Pan v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct.*, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004) (observing that the party seeking writ relief bears the burden of showing that such relief is warranted); *Smith v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct.*, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 818 P.2d 849, 851 (1991) (recognizing that writ relief is an extraordinary remedy and that this court has sole discretion in determining whether to entertain a writ petition). As petitioner has failed to demonstrate that our intervention by extraordinary writ is warranted,

we decline to exercise our original jurisdiction in this matter. See NRAP 21(b). Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.


_____, C.J.
Cadish


_____, J.
Stiglich


_____, J.
Herndon

cc: DahNerro Bennett-Biddle
Attorney General/Carson City