


IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

AMY C. DZIEDZIC A/K/A AMY C.
HANLEY,
Appellant,
vs.
MICHAEL D. DZIEDZIC,
Respondent.

No. 87865

FILED

JAN 31 2024

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY 
DEPUTY CLERK


ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

This is an appeal from an order denying a motion for reconsideration. Eighth Judicial District Court, Family Division, Clark County; Mary D. Perry, Judge.


This court's review of the notice of appeal and the documents submitted to this court pursuant to NRAP 3(g) reveals a jurisdictional defect. Specifically, it appears that the order is not substantively appealable. See NRAP 3A(b). This court has jurisdiction to consider an appeal only when the appeal is authorized by statute or court rule. *Taylor Constr. Co. v. Hilton Hotels Corp.*, 100 Nev. 207, 209, 678 P.2d 1152, 1153 (1984). No statute or court rule provides for an appeal from an order

denying a motion for reconsideration.¹ Accordingly, this court lacks jurisdiction and we

ORDER this appeal DISMISSED.


_____, J.
Herndon


_____, J.
Lee


_____, J.
Bell

cc: Hon. Mary D. Perry, District Judge, Family Division
Amy C. Dziejczak
Michael D. Dziejczak
Eighth District Court Clerk

¹Insofar as the motion for reconsideration can be considered a timely tolling motion pursuant to NRAP 4(a)(4), the only order timely challenged by the motion for reconsideration is the district court's order issued on November 16, 2023, ordering a psychiatric evaluation of appellant. No statute or court rule provides for an appeal from an order for a psychiatric evaluation.